

For Reference

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THIS ROOM


THE REACTION OF CERTAIN CEREALS
TO FREEZING TEMPERATURES

Arnold William Platt

Department of Field Crops
University of Alberta

Ex LIBRIS
UNIVERSITATIS
ALBERTAENSIS





Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Alberta Libraries

<https://archive.org/details/reactionofcertai00arno>

THE REACTION OF CERTAIN CEREALS TO FREEZING TEMPERATURES.

Arnold William Platt
Department of Field Crops.

A THESIS
submitted to the University of Alberta
to fulfil approximately two-thirds of the
requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

Edmonton, Alberta

April, 1936.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction.....	1
General methods.....	4
The effect of freezing temperatures and of defoliation on the subsequent growth of wheat plants.....	7
Literature review.....	7
Experiment 1.....	8
Methods.....	8
Results.....	12
Experiment 2.....	27
Methods.....	27
Results.....	28
Differential ability of varieties to recover from defoliation and frost injury in the seedling stage.....	34
Discussion.....	36
The effect of various factors on the survival of plants exposed to freezing temperatures.....	39
Soil moisture content.....	39
Hardening.....	49
Border effect within flats.....	54
Endosperm development of the seed.....	56
The reaction of differently nourished plants to freezing temperatures.....	59
Literature review.....	59
Methods.....	61
Results.....	64
Discussion.....	73

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction
2	General outline
3	The effect of increasing temperature and its relation to the water vapor pressure of water vapor
4	Latent heat
5	Experiment I
6	Method
7	Results
8	Experiment II
9	Method
10	Results
11	Discussion of results of experiment I and experiment II
12	Conclusion
13	References
14	The effect of various factors on the latent heat of vaporization of water
15	Latent heat of vaporization of water
16	Latent heat of vaporization of water
17	Latent heat of vaporization of water
18	Latent heat of vaporization of water
19	Latent heat of vaporization of water
20	Latent heat of vaporization of water
21	Latent heat of vaporization of water
22	Latent heat of vaporization of water
23	Latent heat of vaporization of water
24	Latent heat of vaporization of water
25	Latent heat of vaporization of water
26	Latent heat of vaporization of water
27	Latent heat of vaporization of water
28	Latent heat of vaporization of water
29	Latent heat of vaporization of water
30	Latent heat of vaporization of water

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
The reaction of wheat, oat and barley varieties to freezing temperatures.....	75
Literature review.....	75
Varietal reactions to freezing temperatures in the seedling stage.....	76
Methods.....	76
Results.....	77
Varietal reactions to freezing temperatures in the heading stage.....	87
Methods.....	87
Results.....	88
Discussion.....	92
Summary.....	95
Acknowledgments.....	98
References.....	99

TABLE 1. (continued)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

THE REACTIONS OF CERTAIN CEREALS TO FREEZING TEMPERATURES.

A.W. Platt

INTRODUCTION.

The effect of low temperatures on plant growth has been a subject of interest to man since he first began his study of plant life. As few areas in the world are free from the risk of damage to plant life through the advent of low temperatures, the subject is still of almost world-wide interest. For example, we find reference in the literature to damage by low temperatures suffered by rye in Alberta, by corn in Michigan, by cowpeas in Kansas, by cotton in Mississippi, by sugar cane in Cuba and by coffee in Brazil.

Early investigations upon this subject were greatly hampered as the investigators were obliged to depend upon naturally occurring low temperatures, or on mixtures of ice and salt, or on similar devices, with the attendant difficulties in reaching the desired temperatures and maintaining them for any length of time. In recent years the advent of mechanical refrigeration has removed or greatly lessened these difficulties, and since that time a good deal of progress has been made in the study of plant behavior at low temperatures.

In cereal crops the work on the low temperature reaction of plant tissue has largely been confined to the so-called fall or winter varieties, probably because these varieties are of necessity exposed to relatively low temperatures and, as a result, the damage sustained is frequently very great. In contrast to this the spring varieties from the standpoint of their reaction to low temperatures, have been largely neglected.

In the present investigation the reactions of spring varieties of wheat, oats and barley to freezing temperatures in the seedling stage and of spring wheat varieties in the heading stage, were studied. The investigation may be said to have had four objectives, namely:

1. To determine the effect of exposures to freezing temperatures on the subsequent growth of the plants.
2. To determine the relative resistance of plants growing under various nutritional conditions to freezing temperatures.
3. To determine the effect of various local environmental factors prevalent during the growth and the freezing, of plants used for experimental purposes, on the frost injury sustained by such plants.
4. To determine whether or not varietal differences, with respect to injury by freezing temperatures, exist in the commonly grown varieties of wheat, oats and barley, and to determine as far as possible the magnitude of any such differences.

The results obtained with regard to each aspect of the investigation referred to above will be presented in separate sections of this paper, together with a brief review of the relevant literature and the methods followed in carrying out the experimental work.

GENERAL METHODS

In this investigation a York refrigerating plant was used to obtain the low temperatures desired. Two chambers, each of which was 9 feet by 10 feet by 6.5 feet high, were available for use. The outer chamber was fitted with artificial lights and used as a hardening chamber, while the inner chamber was used for exposing the plants to freezing temperatures. The refrigerating coils in these chambers are placed overhead. Circulation of air to insure uniform temperatures throughout the chamber, was accomplished by means of a Sirocco suction fan and, in addition, by two office fans placed at strategic points within the chamber. Despite these precautions pockets of warm and cold air did occasionally form during the freezing exposure and, in consequence, adequate replication was necessary in order to allow for the variations in damage that resulted from such pocket formation. The temperature within the chamber was controlled by means of a thermo-regulator which allowed a variation in temperature of about 1.5°C.

Unless otherwise stated, the material was grown in wooden flats the dimensions of which were 22 by 16 by 4 inches.

A black, highly fertile soil, characterized by a high organic matter content and known as Edmonton loam, was used in all experiments unless otherwise noted.

REPORT

1911

On this investigation a few interesting facts

are noted to justify the investigation. The

results, many of which are given in the text

also, were obtained by the following methods:

The first method used was a standard method, this

the first method was used for the purpose of

investigation. The following results are

given in the following table.

The following results are given, and are

of a general nature, and are given in the

following table. The following results are

given in the following table, and are

of a general nature, and are given in the

following table. The following results are

given in the following table, and are

of a general nature, and are given in the

following table. The following results are

given in the following table.

The following results are given, and are

of a general nature, and are given in the

following table. The following results are

given in the following table, and are

of a general nature, and are given in the

In many of the experiments the plants were pre-chilled, that is, they were exposed to a temperature of 0°C. just previous to an exposure to freezing temperatures. The duration of the pre-chilling period varied in the different experiments and consequently will be specified in each individual instance.

After an exposure to freezing temperatures the plants were allowed to recover for about ten days before the damage sustained by them was estimated. At that time the number of plants falling into each of four classes was noted. In class one were placed those plants that were killed; in class two those severely injured; in class three those slightly injured; and in class four those that were apparently uninjured. Each plant falling into class one was given a survival value of 0.0, each into class two of 0.33, each into class three of 0.66 and each into class four of 1.0. For a given lot of plants these values were summated, multiplied by 100 and divided by the number of plants present to give a survival index for that particular lot of plants. The survival indices so calculated range from 0 to 100, 0 indicating complete killing and 100 indicating no apparent damage.

Similar survival indices have been found by Martin (8), Salmon (14), Aamodt and Platt (1) and others, to be highly correlated with the percentage of dead plants. The objection to using this latter criterion of injury is the fact that in many experiments no plants whatever are killed, even though there may be marked differences in injury. The percentage of

plants killed in such cases gives no indication whatever of the degree of injury and consequently when the investigator depends upon this, no results are secured from the experiment. Since it is sometimes difficult to accurately determine, beforehand, the temperature at which differential killing will occur, dependence on percentage kill alone means that a certain portion of the experiments will contribute no data of value.

The analysis of variance as presented by Fisher (2) has been used for the statistical treatment of the data obtained wherever this was possible. However the significance of the mean square of any possible variable, compared with the mean square for error, has been determined by the F method given by Snedecor (17) rather than the Z method given by Fisher.

These values in turn give an indication whether or not
 the values of α and β are significantly different from zero.
 If the values of α and β are not significantly different from zero,
 it is sometimes difficult to establish a significant difference.
 The temperature at which differential melting will occur,
 depends on the nature of the substance and on the nature of the
 of the experiments will indicate no data of value.

The analysis of variance as presented by Fisher (1) has
 been used for the statistical treatment of the data obtained.
 However, this was possible. However, the significance of the
 test results of any possible variation, however, the test
 results for each, has been indicated by the F value given
 of Fisher (1) which has the F value given by Fisher.

The Effect of Freezing Temperatures and of Defoliation
on the Subsequent Growth of Wheat Plants

Literature review.

The investigations of Waldron (19,20) appear to be the only work published to date on the effect of frost injury on the subsequent growth of wheat plants.

In his earlier work (19) Waldron reports that the varieties Ceres and Hope were growing in each of two spring wheat nurseries. These nurseries were growing under apparently uniform conditions, except that one nursery received some shelter from a windbreak. A frost occurred which damaged the unsheltered nursery but did not damage the sheltered one. In the former nursery Hope was found to be severely damaged while Ceres exhibited little or no damage. At harvest it was found that the yield of Hope and of Ceres, in the nursery showing no frost damage, was approximately equal but in the nursery showing frost damage, the slightly damaged Ceres outyielded the severely damaged Hope by approximately 13 bushels per acre. Waldron attributes this relative lowering of the yield of Hope to the frost damage sustained by it in the seedling stage.

Later (20) a hybrid nursery was damaged by spring frosts. Waldron noted that in rows of F_5 material, and in the variety Hard Federation, some of the plants were severely injured while others escaped without injury. The injured and non-injured plants were tagged. At maturity it was noted that

the culms of the injured plants were about 10 per cent shorter than those of the non-injured plants, and that the number of fertile culms and the grain yield per plant was reduced by about 50 per cent. No mention was made as to the relative growth periods of the injured and non-injured plants.

The differences in injury sustained by the individual plants are regarded by Waldron as probably genetical in nature. He points out that should the genes for susceptibility to frost be responsible for, or linked with, the genes for lessened height and lowered yield, the conclusions drawn would not be valid. However, the inclusion of the rows of Hard Federation, which behaved similarly to the hybrid lines, suggested that such an association of genes did not exist.

Waldron concludes that injuries of this sort must have an effect on yield per acre, as any increased growth of the non-injured plants above what would have occurred normally could not compensate for the losses of the injured plants, which would remain as competitors.

Experiment 1.

Methods.

The first experiment was concerned with a comparison of the growth period, height and number of fertile culms per plant of frozen, defoliated and non-injured plants.

The office of the Attorney General is the only one in the United States which is not a part of the executive branch of the government. It is a part of the judicial branch, and its functions are purely judicial. It is the only office in the United States which is not a part of the executive branch of the government. It is a part of the judicial branch, and its functions are purely judicial.

The office of the Attorney General is the only one in the United States which is not a part of the executive branch of the government. It is a part of the judicial branch, and its functions are purely judicial. It is the only office in the United States which is not a part of the executive branch of the government. It is a part of the judicial branch, and its functions are purely judicial.

The office of the Attorney General is the only one in the United States which is not a part of the executive branch of the government. It is a part of the judicial branch, and its functions are purely judicial. It is the only office in the United States which is not a part of the executive branch of the government. It is a part of the judicial branch, and its functions are purely judicial.

Continued

Page 2

The office of the Attorney General is the only one in the United States which is not a part of the executive branch of the government. It is a part of the judicial branch, and its functions are purely judicial. It is the only office in the United States which is not a part of the executive branch of the government. It is a part of the judicial branch, and its functions are purely judicial.

Five varieties of spring wheat, namely: Garnet C.A.N.*1316, Reward C.A.N. 1509, Red Bobs 222 C.A.N. 1637, Marquis C.A.N. 1621 and Canus, were used.

As the exposure to freezing temperatures had to be made in the freezing chamber, it was necessary to grow the plants in containers in order that they might be moved in and out of the chamber.

The first lot of plants used was grown in six-inch flower pots. Five seeds were planted in each pot and upon emergence the plants were thinned to a uniform stand of three plants per pot.

When the plants reached the -two-leaf stage they were divided into two lots. One lot was again sub-divided into four sub-lots. One sub-lot was left as a non-injured check, two sub-lots were exposed to freezing temperatures, the first at -8°C . for four hours and the second at -12°C . for four hours; and the fourth sub-lot was clipped off about one-half inch above the surface of the soil.

It was desired to injure all varieties to approximately the same degree. In order to attain this objective it was necessary to select plants having the required amount of injury from each of the varieties used. In the lightly frozen lot only plants having less than 50 per cent of their foliage injured were saved, while in the severely frozen lot only plants having

* Canadian accession number.

five varieties of species known, namely: *C.A.N.*
hensis L.H. 1900, and *C.A.N.* 1900, *C.A.N.* 1900, and *C.A.N.* 1900.
 and *C.A.N.* 1900.

in the course of the investigation and in the
 in the course of the investigation. It was necessary to give the plants in
 accordance in order that they should be given in the same way as the
 plants.

The first lot of plants was given in the same
 flower pots. Five plants were given in each lot and each
 because the plants were given in a different way of each
 plants was not.

Then the plants were given in the same way
 with divided into two lots. The lot was given in divided into
 four sub-lots. One sub-lot was given in a different way of each
 two sub-lots were given in the same way of each, and the first
 at 1900. For four hours and the second at 1900. For four
 hours; and the third and fourth were given in the same way of each
 both at the same time and in the same way.

It was necessary to give the plants in the same way
 the same way. In order to obtain the same result in the same
 necessary to give the plants in the same way of each, and the first
 two each of the plants was given in the same way of each, and the first
 only plants were given in the same way of each, and the first
 were given, and in the same way of each, and the first

more than 50 per cent of their foliage injured were saved.

~~The appearance of typical lightly and severely frozen plants at the time of selection is shown in Figure 1.~~

The second lot was treated in the same manner as the first lot when it reached the five-leaf stage, and the frozen plants were again similarly selected.

All this material was allowed to grow to maturity in the greenhouse.

The second group of plants used in the first experiment were grown in flats. These flats were sub-divided into compartments by bottomless cardboard boxes which were two inches square and extended to the bottom of the flat. One plant was grown in each compartment.

The plants were frozen in the two- and five-leaf stages, as described above, and the frozen plants were similarly selected.

At the time of selection all plants were transplanted to the field into rows one foot apart, and with the plants two inches apart within the row. Because of the cardboard containers it was possible to lift out the plants with the soil surrounding them, and place them in the field without disturbing them to any extent. The only sign of injury observed was in some of the plants transplanted in the five-leaf stage where, in some cases, the roots had run along the bottom of the flat and consequently became broken off in transplanting. In all, over 4,000 plants were so transplanted and, by actual count, there was 100 per cent survival.

For the purpose in hand, namely the comparison of injured and non-injured plants, the method appeared by careful observation to be quite suitable. The only suggestion of a differential effect noted was that the check lots of plants appeared to suffer more after transplanting than did the defoliated or severely frozen plants, probably as a result of their greater development of foliage. Weather conditions were ideal at the time of transplanting, and this fact probably accounts to a large extent for the good results obtained.

It should be noted that when the plants were grown to maturity in the greenhouse, all plants were growing under similar environmental conditions at all times, but that this was not so when the plants were transplanted to the field, as those injured in the five-leaf stage remained in the greenhouse for some time after those injured in the two-leaf stage had been removed to the field.

The date of heading of each individual plant was recorded.

At maturity the plants were harvested and the number of fertile culms per plant, as well as the height in centimetres of each plant from the base to the apical spikelet excluding awns, was recorded.

The yield per plant was not recorded as the grain from the material grown in the field was so badly damaged by fall frosts that data on yield per plant would have been valueless.

1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 2676, 2677, 2678, 2679, 26

Results.

Days from emergence to heading. The data obtained with regard to the days from emergence to heading of injured and non-injured plants grown in the field and in the greenhouse, are presented in Table I. For convenience the differences in days from emergence to heading between each mean, and all other comparable means, were calculated. These data are presented in Table II.

It will be noted that in all cases the injured plants were later in heading than were the non-injured plants and that these increases are statistically significant. Amongst the injured groups those severely frozen were in general the latest in heading. In all cases they were later than the lightly frozen plants and, except for the Marquis and Canus plants injured in the two-leaf stage and grown in the field, they were significantly later than the defoliated plants. On the average the defoliated plants were later than the lightly frozen plants, but in many individual instances this was not the case, particularly amongst plants injured in the two-leaf stage and grown in the greenhouse.

It will be noted that, on the whole, the differences between groups, especially the differences between the non-injured and the injured groups, were accentuated when the plants were grown to maturity in the greenhouse as compared with the differences obtained when the plants were grown to maturity in

Special

What type of work is being done? The work being done is of the type that requires a high degree of accuracy and attention to detail. It is a type of work that is not easily done by a machine, but rather by a person who is capable of understanding the requirements of the work and who is able to perform the work in a manner that meets these requirements. The work is of a type that is not easily done by a machine, but rather by a person who is capable of understanding the requirements of the work and who is able to perform the work in a manner that meets these requirements.

It will be noted that in all cases the work is of a type that is not easily done by a machine, but rather by a person who is capable of understanding the requirements of the work and who is able to perform the work in a manner that meets these requirements. The work is of a type that is not easily done by a machine, but rather by a person who is capable of understanding the requirements of the work and who is able to perform the work in a manner that meets these requirements. The work is of a type that is not easily done by a machine, but rather by a person who is capable of understanding the requirements of the work and who is able to perform the work in a manner that meets these requirements.

It will be noted that in all cases the work is of a type that is not easily done by a machine, but rather by a person who is capable of understanding the requirements of the work and who is able to perform the work in a manner that meets these requirements. The work is of a type that is not easily done by a machine, but rather by a person who is capable of understanding the requirements of the work and who is able to perform the work in a manner that meets these requirements.

TABLE I

Days from emergence to heading of wheat plants injured in the seedling stage by frost and by defoliation.

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Days from emergence to heading			
			Green house		Field	
			No. of plants	Mean of S.E. of mean	No. of plants	Mean of S.E. of mean
Garnet	2-leaf stage	No injury	60	36.17	32	44.34
		Light frost	36	40.69	102	45.48
		Foliage removed	30	41.37	55	51.05
		Severe frost	45	47.82	88	53.59
	5-leaf stage	No injury	60	36.17	58	40.40
		Light frost	25	41.96	68	41.26
		Foliage removed	30	45.40	36	44.36
		Severe frost	27	50.00	40	51.85
Reward	2-leaf stage	No injury	59	35.44	26	44.11
		Light frost	67	41.21	107	45.99
		Foliage removed	30	40.63	45	49.39
		Severe frost	49	47.12	90	51.06
	5-leaf stage	No injury	59	35.44	87	41.21
		Light frost	40	42.13	84	42.54
		Foliage removed	30	42.67	46	46.96
		Severe frost	35	47.11	41	49.51
Red Bobs 222	2-leaf stage	No injury	60	37.92	28	49.89
		Light frost	36	42.19	125	51.56
		Foliage removed	30	42.77	64	52.05
		Severe frost	47	49.79	40	55.63

(continued)

reports guidelines and at beginning studying treaty. To guidelines of experience more. And
notwithstanding you have treaty you

TABLE I (continued)

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Days from emergence to heading					
			Greenhouse			Field		
			No. of plants	Mean	S.E. of mean	No. of plants	Mean	S.E. of mean
Red Bobs 222 (continued)	5-leaf stage	No injury	60	37.92	0.19	58	46.84	0.34
		Light frost	51	41.82	0.41	106	48.25	0.28
		Foliage removed	30	43.77	0.23	28	52.00	0.25
		Severe frost	24	51.92	0.98	14	54.86	0.71
Marquis	2-leaf stage	No injury	60	43.00	0.20	31	52.48	0.26
		Light frost	39	44.97	0.38	148	54.59	0.16
		Foliage removed	30	48.07	0.67	64	57.67	0.29
		Severe frost	29	52.62	1.09	60	58.02	0.22
	5-leaf stage	No injury	60	43.00	0.20	67	51.73	0.19
		Light frost	49	46.82	0.47	79	53.42	0.28
		Foliage removed	30	48.77	0.42	41	57.63	0.33
		Severe frost	6	56.17	1.03	41	58.98	0.45
Canus	2-leaf stage	No injury	59	43.12	0.12	34	50.56	0.31
		Light frost	60	46.08	0.31	132	53.34	0.15
		Foliage removed	30	47.33	0.63	45	55.53	0.37
		Severe frost	30	51.10	0.55	66	56.09	0.28
	5-leaf stage	No injury	59	43.12	0.12	62	49.18	0.33
		Light frost	62	46.23	0.33	92	52.51	0.26
		Foliage removed	28	49.89	0.38	36	54.61	0.44
		Severe frost	7	53.14	1.97	31	58.13	0.77

TABLE II

The difference in days from emergence to heading between the mean of any group of plants and all other comparable means.

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Difference in days from emergence to heading (Horizontal column-Vertical column)					
			Greenhouse			Field		
			Light frost	Foliage removed	Severe frost	Light frost	Foliage removed	Severe frost
Garnet	2-leaf stage	No injury	+4.52*	+5.20	+11.65	+1.14	+6.71	+9.25
		Light frost Foliage removed		+0.68	+7.13 + 6.45		+5.57	+9.11 +2.54
	5-leaf stage	No injury	+5.79	+9.23	+13.83	+0.86	+3.96	+11.45
		Light frost Foliage removed		+3.44	+8.04 + 4.60		+3.10	+10.59 + 7.49
Reward	2-leaf stage	No injury	+5.77	+5.19	+11.68	+1.88	+5.28	+6.95
		Light frost Foliage removed		-0.58	+5.91 + 6.49		+3.40	+5.07 +1.67
	5-leaf stage	No injury	+6.69	+7.23	+11.67	+1.33	+5.75	+8.30
		Light frost Foliage removed		+0.54	+4.98 + 4.44		+4.42	+6.97 +2.55
Red Bobs 222	2-leaf stage	No injury	+4.27	+4.85	+11.87	+1.67	+2.16	+5.74
		Light frost Foliage removed		+0.58	+7.60 + 7.02		+0.49	+4.07 +3.58
	5-leaf stage	No injury	+3.90	+5.85	+14.00	+1.41	+5.16	+8.02
		Light frost Foliage removed		+1.95	+10.10 + 8.15		+3.75	+6.61 +2.86

(continued)

400

TABLE II (continued)

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Difference in days from emergence to heading					
			Greenhouse			Field		
			Light frost	Foliage removed	Severe frost	Light frost	Foliage removed	Severe frost
Marquis	2-leaf stage	No injury						
		Light frost	<u>+1.97</u>	<u>+5.07</u>	<u>+ 9.62</u>	<u>+2.11</u>	<u>+5.19</u>	<u>+5.54</u>
	5-leaf stage	Foliage removed		<u>+3.10</u>	<u>+ 7.65</u>		<u>+3.08</u>	<u>+3.45</u>
					<u>+ 4.55</u>			<u>+0.35</u>
Canus	2-leaf stage	No injury						
		Light frost	<u>+3.82</u>	<u>+5.77</u>	<u>+13.17</u>	<u>+1.69</u>	<u>+5.90</u>	<u>+7.25</u>
	5-leaf stage	Foliage removed		<u>+1.95</u>	<u>+ 9.35</u>		<u>+4.21</u>	<u>+5.56</u>
					<u>+ 7.40</u>			<u>+1.35</u>
	2-leaf stage	No injury						
		Light frost	<u>+2.96</u>	<u>+4.21</u>	<u>+ 7.98</u>	<u>+2.78</u>	<u>+4.97</u>	<u>+5.53</u>
	5-leaf stage	Foliage removed		<u>+1.25</u>	<u>+ 5.02</u>		<u>+2.19</u>	<u>+2.75</u>
					<u>+ 3.77</u>			<u>+0.56</u>
	2-leaf stage	No injury						
		Light frost	<u>+3.11</u>	<u>+6.77</u>	<u>+10.02</u>	<u>+3.33</u>	<u>+5.43</u>	<u>+8.95</u>
	5-leaf stage	Foliage removed		<u>+3.66</u>	<u>+ 6.91</u>		<u>+2.10</u>	<u>+5.62</u>
					<u>+ 3.25</u>			<u>+3.52</u>

*Underlined values indicate that these differences exceed twice the standard error of the difference.

[illegible]

out to receive students at point where baggage would be delivered.

the field. However, the relative differences between groups grown in the greenhouse and comparable groups grown in the field is quite consistent.

In comparing the degree of retardation in date of heading sustained by plants injured in the two, and those injured in the five-leaf stage, it will be noted that for the most part those injured in the five-leaf stage were, relative to the checks, about one to two days later than those injured in the two-leaf stage.

Height. The data obtained on the height of injured and non-injured plants grown in the field and in the greenhouse are presented in Table III. For convenience, the differences in height between each mean and all other comparable means were calculated. These data are presented in Table IV. From the data presented it will be seen that, in the majority of instances, the differences noted between the mean heights of any two comparable groups of plants are less than 10 centimetres in extent, and that only two of these exceed 14 centimetres.

It will also be noted from the data presented that no apparent relationship, for all varieties and conditions present, exists between height at maturity and injury in the seedling stage. There is a tendency for the frozen and defoliated plants to be shorter than the non-injured plants when they were grown to maturity in the greenhouse, with the exception, particularly, of Garnet plants. The lightly frozen plants when grown in the

the field. The object of the present study is to determine the effect of the field on the growth of the plant. The field is to be used for the purpose of the study.

In carrying out the study of the field, it is necessary to determine the effect of the field on the growth of the plant. The field is to be used for the purpose of the study. The field is to be used for the purpose of the study.

Method. The data obtained in the study of the field are to be used for the purpose of the study. The field is to be used for the purpose of the study. The field is to be used for the purpose of the study.

TABLE III

Height in centimetres at maturity of wheat plants injured in the seedling stage by frost and by defoliation.

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Height in cms.			
			Green house		Field	
			No. of plants	Mean of mean S.E.	No. of plants	Mean of mean S.E.
Garnet	2-leaf stage	No injury	57	67.63	32	82.77
		Light frost	34	77.59	102	92.64
		Foliage removed	27	68.44	55	82.14
		Severe frost	26	76.24	89	79.05
	5-leaf stage	No injury	57	67.63	58	72.06
		Light frost	18	77.66	71	73.74
		Foliage removed	18	75.01	36	59.82
		Severe frost	15	70.00	40	69.00
Reward	2-leaf stage	No injury	60	75.00	25	86.16
		Light frost	29	71.79	108	92.55
		Foliage removed	29	61.77	46	78.45
		Severe frost	49	61.29	92	83.49
	5-leaf stage	No injury	60	75.00	88	69.81
		Light frost	39	66.09	86	72.42
		Foliage removed	29	55.86	45	66.12
		Severe frost	30	66.61	43	66.84
Red Bobs 222	2-leaf stage	No injury	60	81.34	28	92.46
		Light frost	35	78.82	125	90.45
		Foliage removed	30	71.59	64	99.24
		Severe frost	37	76.57	40	92.19

(continued)

THE REPORT

and at present being taken to follow in the footsteps of the
 successful to be the first to reach the summit.

2001-2002

Year	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100	2101	2102	2103	2104	2105	2106	2107	2108	2109	2110	2111	2112	2113	2114	2115	2116	2117	2118	2119	2120	2121	2122	2123	2124	2125	2126	2127	2128	2129	2130	2131	2132	2133	2134	2135	2136	2137	2138	2139	2140	2141	2142	2143	2144	2145	2146	2147	2148	2149	2150	2151	2152	2153	2154	2155	2156	2157	2158	2159	2160	2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2173	2174	2175	2176	2177	2178	2179	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2185	2186	2187	2188	2189	2190	2191	2192	2193	2194	2195	2196	2197	2198	2199	2200	2201	2202	2203	2204	2205	2206	2207	2208	2209	2210	2211	2212	2213	2214	2215	2216	2217	2218	2219	2220	2221	2222	2223	2224	2225	2226	2227	2228	2229	2230	2231	2232	2233	2234	2235	2236	2237	2238	2239	2240	2241	2242	2243	2244	2245	2246	2247	2248	2249	2250	2251	2252	2253	2254	2255	2256	2257	2258	2259	2260	2261	2262	2263	2264	2265	2266	2267	2268	2269	2270	2271	2272	2273	2274	2275	2276	2277	2278	2279	2280	2281	2282	2283	2284	2285	2286	2287	2288	2289	2290	2291	2292	2293	2294	2295	2296	2297	2298	2299	2300	2301	2302	2303	2304	2305	2306	2307	2308	2309	2310	2311	2312	2313	2314	2315	2316	2317	2318	2319	2320	2321	2322	2323	2324	2325	2326	2327	2328	2329	2330	2331	2332	2333	2334	2335	2336	2337	2338	2339	2340	2341	2342	2343	2344	2345	2346	2347	2348	2349	2350	2351	2352	2353	2354	2355	2356	2357	2358	2359	2360	2361	2362	2363	2364	2365	2366	2367	2368	2369	2370	2371	2372	2373	2374	2375	2376	2377	2378	2379	2380	2381	2382	2383	2384	2385	2386	2387	2388	2389	2390	2391	2392	2393	2394	2395	2396	2397	2398	2399	2400	2401	2402	2403	2404	2405	2406	2407	2408	2409	2410	2411	2412	2413	2414	2415	2416	2417	2418	2419	2420	2421	2422	2423	2424	2425	2426	2427	2428	2429	2430	2431	2432	2433	2434	2435	2436	2437	2438	2439	2440	2441	2442	2443	2444	2445	2446	2447	2448	2449	2450	2451	2452	2453	2454	2455	2456	2457	2458	2459	2460	2461	2462	2463	2464	2465	2466	2467	2468	2469	2470	2471	2472	2473	2474	2475	2476	2477	2478	2479	2480	2481	2482	2483	2484	2485	2486	2487	2488	2489	2490	2491	2492	2493	2494	2495	2496	2497	2498	2499	2500	2501	2502	2503	2504	2505	2506	2507	2508	2509	2510	2511	2512	2513	2514	2515	2516	2517	2518	2519	2520	2521	2522	2523	2524	2525	2526	2527	2528	2529	2530	2531	2532	2533	2534	2535	2536	2537	2538	2539	2540	2541	2542	2543	2544	2545	2546	2547	2548	2549	2550	2551	2552	2553	2554	2555	2556	2557	2558	2559	2560	2561	2562	2563	2564	2565	2566	2567	2568	2569	2570	2571	2572	2573	2574	2575	2576	2577	2578	2579	2580	2581	2582	2583	2584	2585	2586	2587	2588	2589	2590	2591	2592	2593	2594	2595	2596	2597	2598	2599	2600	2601	2602	2603	2604	2605	2606	2607	2608	2609	2610	2611	2612	2613	2614	2615	2616	2617	2618	2619	2620	2621	2622	2623	2624	2625	2626	2627	2628	2629	2630	2631	2632	2633	2634	2635	2636	2637	2638	2639	2640	2641	2642	2643	2644	2645	2646	2647	2648	2649	2650	2651	2652	2653	2654	2655	2656	2657	2658	2659	2660	2661	2662	2663	2664	2665	2666	2667	2668	2669	2670	2671	2672	2673	2674	2675	2676	2677	2678	2679	2680	2681	2682	2683	2684	2685	2686	2687	2688	2689	2690	2691	2692	2693	2694	2695	2696	2697	2698	2699	2700	2701	2702	2703	2704	2705	2706	2707	2708	2709	2710	2711	2712	2713	2714	2715	2716	2717	2718	2719	2720	2721	2722	2723	2724	2725	2726	2727	2728	2729	2730	2731	2732	2733	2734	2735	2736	2737	2738	2739	2740	2741	2742	2743	2744	2745	2746	2747	2748	2749	2750	2751	2752	2753	2754	2755	2756	2757	2758	2759	2760	2761	2762	2763	2764	2765	2766	2767	2768	2769	2770	2771	2772	2773	2774	2775	2776	2777	2778	2779	2780	2781	2782	2783	2784	2785	2786	2787	2788	2789	2790	2791	2792	2793	2794	2795	2796	2797	2798	2799	2800	2801	2802	2803	2804	2805	2806	2807	2808	2809	2810	2811	2812	2813	2814	2815	2816	2817	2818	2819	2820	2821	2822	2823	2824	2825	2826	2827	2828	2829	2830	2831	2832	2833	2834	2835	2836	2837	2838	2839	2840	2841	2842	2843	2844	2845	2846	2847	2848	2849	2850	2851	2852	2853	2854	2855	2856	2857	2858	2859	2860	2861	2862	2863	2864	2865	2866	2867	2868	2869	2870	2871	2872	2873	2874	2875	2876	2877	2878	2879	2880	2881	2882	2883	2884	2885	2886	2887	2888	2889	2890	2891	2892	2893	2894	2895	2896	2897	2898	2899	2900	2901	2902	2903	2904	2905	2906	2907	2908	2909	2910	2911	2912	2913	2914	2915	2916	2917	2918	2919	2920	2921	2922	2923	2924	2925	2926	2927	2928	2929	2930	2931	2932	2933	2934	2935	2936	2937	2938	2939	2940	2941	2942	2943	2944	2945	2946	2947	2948	2949	2950	2951	2952	2953	2954	2955	2956	2957	2958	2959	2960	2961	2962	2963	2964	2965	2966	2967	2968	2969	2970	2971	2972	2973	2974	2975	2976	2977	2978	2979	2980	2981	2982	2983	2984	2985	2986	2987	2988	2989	2990	2991	2992	2993	2994	2995	2996	2997	2998	2999	3000	3001	3002	3003	3004	3005	3006	3007	3008	3009	3010	3011	3012	3013	3014	3015	3016	3017	3018	3019	3020	3021	3022	3023	3024	3025	3026	3027	3028	3029	3030	3031	3032	3033	3034	3035	3036	3037	3038	3039	3040	3041	3042	3043	3044	3045	3046	3047	3048	3049	3050	3051	3052	3053	3054	3055	3056	3057	3058	3059	3060	3061	3062	3063	3064	3065	3066	3067	3068	3069	3070	3071	3072	3073	3074	3075	3076	3077	3078	3079	3080	3081	3082	3083	3084	3085	3086	3087	3088	3089	3090	3091	3092	3093	3094	3095	3096	3097	3098	3099	3100	3101	3102	3103	3104	3105	3106	3107	3108	3109	3110	3111	3112	3113	3114	3115	3116	3117	3118	3119	3120	3121	3122	3123	3124	3125	3126	3127	3128	3129	3130	3131	3132	3133	3134	3135	3136	3137	3138	3139	3140	3141	3142	3143	3144	3145	3146	3147	3148	3149	3150	3151	3152	3153	3154	3155	3156	3157	3158	3159	3160	3161	3162	3163	3164	3165	3166	3167	3168	3169	3170	3171	3172	3173	3174	3175	3176	3177	3178	3179	3180	3181	3182	3183	3184	3185	3186	3187	3188	3189	3190	3191	3192	3193	3194	3195	3196	3197	3198	3199	3200	3201	3202	3203	3204	3205	3206	3207	3208	3209	3210	3211	3212	3213	3214	3215	3216	3217	3218	3219	3220	3221	3222	3223	3224	3225	3226	3227	3228	3229	3230	3231	3232	3233	3234	3235	3236	3237	3238	3239	3240	3241	3242	3243	3244	3245	3246	3247	3248	3249	3250	3251	3252	3253	3254	3255	3256	3257	3258	3259	3260	3261	3262	3263	3264	3265	3266	3267	3268	3269	3270	3271	3272	3273	3274	3275	3276	3277	3278	3279	3280	3281	3282	3283	3284	3285	3286	3287	3288	3289	3290	3291	3292	3293	3294	3295	3296	3297	3298	3299	3300	3301	3302	3303	3304	3305	3306	3307	3308	3309	3310	3311	3312	3313	3314	3315	3316	3317	3318	3319	3320	3321	3322	3323	3324	3325	3326	3327	3328	3329	3330	3331	3332	3333	3334	3335	3336	3337	3338	3339	3340	3341	3342	3343	3344	3345	3346	3347	3348	3349	3350	3351
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

TABLE III (continued)

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Height in cms.					
			Greenhouse			Field		
			No. of plants	Mean	S.E. of mean	No. of plants	Mean	S.E. of mean
Red Bobs 222 (continued)	5-leaf stage	No injury	60	81.34	1.32	59	81.42	1.12
		Light frost	49	75.13	1.70	117	81.12	0.83
		Foliage removed	30	73.09	2.04	30	79.50	1.72
		Severe frost	17	77.23	4.30	14	77.79	2.87
Marquis	2-leaf stage	No injury	60	86.41	1.22	31	95.52	1.55
		Light frost	42	83.20	1.09	148	99.64	0.85
		Foliage removed	30	82.99	1.76	66	94.05	1.22
		Severe frost	26	82.36	2.69	60	93.36	1.13
	5-leaf stage	No injury	60	86.41	1.22	66	72.27	1.12
		Light frost	52	86.89	1.02	79	79.26	1.01
		Foliage removed	30	82.40	1.19	42	76.35	0.64
		Severe frost	9	77.32	4.11	43	82.26	1.19
Canus	2-leaf stage	No injury	60	84.56	1.11	34	87.87	2.01
		Light frost	63	82.70	0.91	134	98.22	0.62
		Foliage removed	30	86.20	1.44	46	90.12	1.28
		Severe frost	28	75.00	1.48	65	97.44	0.94
	5-leaf stage	No injury	60	84.56	1.11	64	81.06	1.02
		Light frost	59	82.64	0.79	100	76.77	0.97
		Foliage removed	27	80.90	1.76	37	80.43	1.70
		Severe frost	13	76.07	2.67	32	78.39	1.68

TABLE IV

The difference in height at maturity between the mean of any group of plants and all other comparable means.

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Difference in height (Horizontal column-vertical column)					
			Greenhouse			Field		
			Light frost removed	Foliage removed	Severe frost	Light frost removed	Foliage removed	Severe frost
Garnet	2-leaf stage	No injury	+ 9.96*	+0.81	+8.61	+9.87	- 0.63	- 3.72
		Light frost	-	-9.15	-1.35	-	-10.50	-13.59
		Foliage removed	-	-	+7.80	-	-	- 3.09
	5-leaf stage	No injury	+10.02	+7.38	+2.37	+1.68	-12.24	- 3.06
		Light frost		-2.64	-7.65		-13.92	- 4.74
		Foliage removed			-5.01		-	+ 9.18
Reward	2-leaf stage	No injury	- 3.21	-13.23	-13.71	+6.39	- 7.71	- 2.67
		Light frost		-10.02	-10.50		-14.10	- 9.06
		Foliage removed			- 0.48		-	+ 5.04
	5-leaf stage	No injury	- 8.91	-19.14	- 9.39	+2.61	- 3.69	- 2.97
		Light frost		-10.23	- 0.48		- 6.30	- 5.58
		Foliage removed			+ 9.75		-	+ 0.72
Red Bobs 222	2-leaf stage	No injury	- 2.52	- 9.75	- 4.77	-2.01	+ 6.78	- 0.27
		Light frost		- 7.23	- 2.35		+ 8.79	+ 1.74
		Foliage removed			+ 4.98		-	- 7.05
	5-leaf stage	No injury	- 6.21	- 8.25	- 4.11	-0.30	- 1.92	- 3.63
		Light frost		- 2.04	+ 2.10		- 1.62	- 3.33
		Foliage removed			+ 4.14		-	- 1.71

(continued)

TABLE IV (continued)

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Difference in height (Horizontal column-vertical column)					
			Greenhouse			Field		
			Light frost	Foliage removed	Severe frost	Light frost	Foliage removed	Severe frost
Marquis	2-leaf stage	No injury	-3.21	-3.42	-4.05	+4.12	-0.47	-2.16
		Light frost Foliage removed		-0.21	-0.84		-5.59	-6.28
	5-leaf stage	No injury			-0.63			-0.69
		Light frost Foliage removed	+0.48	-4.01 <u>-4.49</u>	-9.09 <u>-9.57</u> -5.08	+6.99	+4.08 <u>-2.91</u>	+9.99 <u>+3.00</u> +5.91
Canus	2-leaf stage	No injury	-1.86	+1.64	-9.56	+10.35	+2.25	+9.57
		Light frost Foliage removed		+5.50	-7.70 <u>-11.20</u>		-8.10	-0.78 <u>+7.32</u>
	5-leaf stage	No injury			-8.49	-4.29	-0.63	-2.67
		Light frost Foliage removed	-1.92	-3.66 <u>-1.74</u>	-6.57 <u>-4.83</u>		+3.66	+1.62 <u>-2.04</u>

*Underlined values indicate that these differences exceed twice the standard error of the difference.

Elevations (meters) highest at centerline				Elevations		Notes
to right				to left		
Elevation	Station	Point	Height	Elevation	Station	Notes
61.8-	74.0-	61.4-	60.4-	59.5-	13.0-	Point on road
60.0-	62.8-	60.0-	59.0-	58.0-		Point on road
59.8+	59.4+	58.8+	58.0+	57.0+		Point on road
58.8+	58.4+	57.8+	57.0+	56.0+		Point on road
58.0-	57.0-	57.0-	56.0-	55.0-		Point on road
57.0-	56.0-	55.0-	54.0-	53.0-		Point on road
56.0-	55.0-	54.0-	53.0-	52.0-		Point on road
55.0-	54.0-	53.0-	52.0-	51.0-		Point on road
54.0-	53.0-	52.0-	51.0-	50.0-		Point on road
53.0-	52.0-	51.0-	50.0-	49.0-		Point on road
52.0-	51.0-	50.0-	49.0-	48.0-		Point on road
51.0-	50.0-	49.0-	48.0-	47.0-		Point on road
50.0-	49.0-	48.0-	47.0-	46.0-		Point on road
49.0-	48.0-	47.0-	46.0-	45.0-		Point on road
48.0-	47.0-	46.0-	45.0-	44.0-		Point on road
47.0-	46.0-	45.0-	44.0-	43.0-		Point on road
46.0-	45.0-	44.0-	43.0-	42.0-		Point on road
45.0-	44.0-	43.0-	42.0-	41.0-		Point on road
44.0-	43.0-	42.0-	41.0-	40.0-		Point on road
43.0-	42.0-	41.0-	40.0-	39.0-		Point on road
42.0-	41.0-	40.0-	39.0-	38.0-		Point on road
41.0-	40.0-	39.0-	38.0-	37.0-		Point on road
40.0-	39.0-	38.0-	37.0-	36.0-		Point on road
39.0-	38.0-	37.0-	36.0-	35.0-		Point on road
38.0-	37.0-	36.0-	35.0-	34.0-		Point on road
37.0-	36.0-	35.0-	34.0-	33.0-		Point on road
36.0-	35.0-	34.0-	33.0-	32.0-		Point on road
35.0-	34.0-	33.0-	32.0-	31.0-		Point on road
34.0-	33.0-	32.0-	31.0-	30.0-		Point on road
33.0-	32.0-	31.0-	30.0-	29.0-		Point on road
32.0-	31.0-	30.0-	29.0-	28.0-		Point on road
31.0-	30.0-	29.0-	28.0-	27.0-		Point on road
30.0-	29.0-	28.0-	27.0-	26.0-		Point on road
29.0-	28.0-	27.0-	26.0-	25.0-		Point on road
28.0-	27.0-	26.0-	25.0-	24.0-		Point on road
27.0-	26.0-	25.0-	24.0-	23.0-		Point on road
26.0-	25.0-	24.0-	23.0-	22.0-		Point on road
25.0-	24.0-	23.0-	22.0-	21.0-		Point on road
24.0-	23.0-	22.0-	21.0-	20.0-		Point on road
23.0-	22.0-	21.0-	20.0-	19.0-		Point on road
22.0-	21.0-	20.0-	19.0-	18.0-		Point on road
21.0-	20.0-	19.0-	18.0-	17.0-		Point on road
20.0-	19.0-	18.0-	17.0-	16.0-		Point on road
19.0-	18.0-	17.0-	16.0-	15.0-		Point on road
18.0-	17.0-	16.0-	15.0-	14.0-		Point on road
17.0-	16.0-	15.0-	14.0-	13.0-		Point on road
16.0-	15.0-	14.0-	13.0-	12.0-		Point on road
15.0-	14.0-	13.0-	12.0-	11.0-		Point on road
14.0-	13.0-	12.0-	11.0-	10.0-		Point on road
13.0-	12.0-	11.0-	10.0-	9.0-		Point on road
12.0-	11.0-	10.0-	9.0-	8.0-		Point on road
11.0-	10.0-	9.0-	8.0-	7.0-		Point on road
10.0-	9.0-	8.0-	7.0-	6.0-		Point on road
9.0-	8.0-	7.0-	6.0-	5.0-		Point on road
8.0-	7.0-	6.0-	5.0-	4.0-		Point on road
7.0-	6.0-	5.0-	4.0-	3.0-		Point on road
6.0-	5.0-	4.0-	3.0-	2.0-		Point on road
5.0-	4.0-	3.0-	2.0-	1.0-		Point on road
4.0-	3.0-	2.0-	1.0-	0.0-		Point on road

TO RIGHT ELEVATIONS AND POINT HEIGHTS CENTER LINE OF ROAD

field show a tendency to be taller than the non-injured plants. The defoliated and severely frozen plants grown in the field failed to show any tendency to be generally shorter or taller than the comparable non-injured plants.

Fertile culms per plant. The data obtained on the number of fertile culms per plant of plants grown in the field and in the greenhouse are presented in Table V. For convenience the differences in the number of fertile culms per plant between each mean and all other comparable means were calculated. These data are presented in Table VI.

From the data presented it will be noted that when the plants were grown to maturity in the greenhouse there was, for the most part, little difference in the mean number of fertile culms per plant between any of the groups studied³. As three plants were grown in each six-inch flower pot, it is believed that one or more soil nutrients became limiting to such an extent that this factor was of greater importance in determining the number of culms per plant than were the treatments to which the plants were subjected. This belief is strengthened by the fact that varietal differences between the means of check lots are practically non-existent under these conditions, while under normal conditions such differences would be expected and were, in fact, observed when the plants were grown to maturity in the field.

According to the data obtained, the defoliated and severely frozen plants grown in the field had, with the exception of the defoliated Reward plants injured in the two-leaf stage, and

TABLE V

Number of fertile culms per plant of wheat plants injured in the seedling stage by frost and by defoliation.

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Fertile culms per plant				
			Greenhouse		Field		
			No. of plants	Mean	S.E. of mean	No. of plants	Mean of mean
Garnet	2-leaf stage	No injury	59	2.02	0.12	32	3.69
		Light frost	30	1.93	0.19	102	5.43
		Foliage removed	29	2.83	0.16	55	2.73
		Severe frost	31	2.35	0.18	88	3.15
	5-leaf stage	No injury	59	2.02	0.12	58	3.55
		Light frost	21	2.57	0.19	71	3.31
		Foliage removed	26	2.92	0.24	36	2.33
		Severe frost	17	2.12	0.34	40	2.30
Reward	2-leaf stage	No injury	60	2.98	0.11	26	4.15
		Light frost	29	2.41	0.20	107	4.81
		Foliage removed	29	2.34	0.21	46	3.63
		Severe frost	46	1.80	0.14	85	2.85
	5-leaf stage	No injury	60	2.98	0.11	88	3.38
		Light frost	39	2.59	0.16	85	3.34
		Foliage removed	29	2.90	0.22	46	2.59
		Severe frost	31	1.84	0.19	43	2.47
Red Bobs 222	2-leaf stage	No injury	59	1.64	0.08	28	6.18
		Light frost	34	1.59	0.12	125	4.93
		Foliage removed	30	1.50	0.17	64	5.17
		Severe frost	46	1.72	0.13	40	3.80

(continued)

TABLE V (continued)

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Fertile culms per plant					
			Greenhouse			Field		
			No. of plants	Mean	S.E. of mean	No. of plants	Mean	S.E. of mean
Red Bobs 222 (continued)	5-leaf stage	No injury	59	1.64	0.08	59	4.78	0.23
		Light frost	50	1.64	0.09	117	4.64	0.18
		Foliage removed	30	1.67	0.13	30	3.87	0.26
		Severe frost	22	1.36	0.15	14	3.00	0.41
Marquis	2-leaf stage	No injury	59	2.27	0.11	31	4.97	0.40
		Light frost	42	2.07	0.15	138	5.64	0.20
		Foliage removed	30	2.20	0.15	66	3.74	0.17
		Severe frost	55	2.33	0.14	60	3.33	0.25
	5-leaf stage	No injury	59	2.27	0.11	67	4.19	0.22
		Light frost	51	2.04	0.13	79	5.18	0.25
		Foliage removed	29	2.07	0.11	41	3.85	0.26
		Severe frost	12	1.42	0.24	43	4.56	0.31
Canus	2-leaf stage	No injury	60	2.17	0.09	34	7.74	0.63
		Light frost	55	2.27	0.15	134	6.06	0.21
		Foliage removed	30	1.97	0.13	46	4.96	0.33
		Severe frost	33	2.03	0.18	65	5.11	0.20
	5-leaf stage	No injury	60	2.17	0.09	64	4.92	0.25
		Light frost	62	1.98	0.09	100	4.17	0.16
		Foliage removed	29	2.34	0.18	37	4.11	0.24
		Severe frost	14	1.86	0.23	32	3.78	0.41

TABLE VI

The differences in fertile culms per plant between the mean of any group of plants and all other comparable means.

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Difference in no. of fertile culms (Horizontal column-vertical column)				
			Greenhouse		Field		
			Light frost	Foliage removed	Light frost	Foliage removed	Severe frost
Garnet	2-leaf stage	No injury	-0.09	+0.81*	+0.33	+1.74	-0.54
		Light frost		+0.90	+0.42	-2.70	-2.28
		Foliage removed			-0.48		+0.42
	5-leaf stage	No injury	+0.55	+0.90	+0.10	-0.24	-1.25
		Light frost		+0.35	-0.45	-0.98	-1.01
		Foliage removed			-0.80		-0.03
Reward	2-leaf stage	No injury	-0.57	-0.64	-1.18	+0.66	-1.30
		Light frost		-0.07	-0.61	-1.18	-1.96
		Foliage removed			-0.54		-0.78
	5-leaf stage	No injury	-0.39	-0.08	-1.14	-0.04	-0.91
		Light frost		+0.31	-0.75	-0.75	-0.87
		Foliage removed			-1.06		-0.12
Red Bobs 222	2-leaf stage	No injury	-0.05	-0.14	+0.08	-1.25	-2.38
		Light frost		-0.09	+0.13	+0.24	-1.13
		Foliage removed			+0.22		-1.37
	5-leaf stage	No injury	0.0	+0.03	-0.28	-0.14	-1.78
		Light frost		+0.03	-0.28	-0.77	-1.64
		Foliage removed			-0.31		-0.87

(continued)

TABLE VI (continued)

Variety	Stage at which plants were injured	Type of injury	Difference in no. of fertile culms (Horizontal column-vertical column)					
			Greenhouse			Field		
			Light frost removed	Foliage removed	Severe frost	Light frost removed	Foliage removed	Severe frost
Marquis	2-leaf stage	No injury	-0.20	-0.07	+0.06	+0.67	-1.23	-1.64
		Light frost		+0.13	+0.26		-1.90	-2.31
		Foliage removed			+0.13			-0.41
	5-leaf stage	No injury	-0.23	-0.20	-0.85	+0.99	-0.34	+0.37
		Light frost		+0.03	-0.62		-1.33	-0.62
		Foliage removed			-0.65			+0.71
Canus	2-leaf stage	No injury	+0.10	-0.20	-0.14	-1.68	-2.78	-2.63
		Light frost		-0.30	-0.24		-1.10	-0.95
		Foliage removed			+0.06			+0.15
	5-leaf stage	No injury	-0.19	+0.17	-0.31	-0.75	-0.81	-1.14
		Light frost		+0.36	-0.10		-0.06	-0.39
		Foliage removed			-0.48			-0.33

*Underlined values indicate that the differences noted exceed twice the standard error of the difference.

the defoliated and severely frozen Marquis plants injured in the five-leaf stage, a smaller number of fertile culms per plant than did the comparable non-injured plants. Considering the lightly frozen plants, it will be noted that those of Garnet injured in the two-leaf stage had more fertile culms per plant than did comparable non-injured plants; while those of Red Bobs 222 injured in the two-leaf stage and those of Canus injured in the two- and the five-leaf stage had, conversely, fewer fertile culms per plant than the comparable non-injured plants; and that in all other cases the differences noted are not statistically significant.

The diminution in number of fertile culms per plant sustained by the injured plants in comparison with non-injured plants did not appear to be consistently influenced by the stage of development at which the injury occurred.

Experiment 2.

Methods.

The second experiment was concerned with a comparison of defoliated and normal plants grown under field conditions.

The five varieties, used in the first experiment, were grown in five-row plots, the rows being 18 feet long and one foot apart.

The young plants were subjected to three treatments. One lot was left as the non-injured check, a second was defoliated at the two-leaf stage, and a third was defoliated at the five-leaf

stage. Each treatment was replicated four times.

The height at maturity, growth period and yield of each plot were recorded.

Results.

Growth period. A summary of the data obtained on the growth periods of defoliated and non-injured plants grown under field conditions is presented in Table VII. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained, and is presented in Table VIII.

According to the data presented the defoliated plants were later in maturing than were the non-injured plants. On the average those plants defoliated in the two-leaf stage were about three days, and those defoliated in the five-leaf stage about five days later in maturing than were the non-injured plants. The standard error of the difference between the means of any two treatments was calculated and found to be equal to 0.71 days, consequently differences in excess of 1.4 days may be considered significant. It is evident that the increases in the growth periods of defoliated plants are statistically significant. Also, it is evident that the growth periods of plants defoliated in the five-leaf stage are significantly greater than are those of plants defoliated in the two-leaf stage. This retardation in the maturing of defoliated plants grown under field conditions is but slightly less than the retardation in heading of the defoliated plants grown in the greenhouse or of those transplanted to the field.

432

TABLE VII

Height, growth period and yield of normal and defoliated wheat varieties.

Variety	Height in cms.		Growth period in days		Yield in bus. per acre	
	Normal	Defoliated 2-leaf stage	Normal	Defoliated 2-leaf stage	Normal	Defoliated 2-leaf stage
Garnet	109.8	111.3	95.0	98.3	40.0	39.3
Reward	110.3	111.3	97.0	100.5	36.8	33.3
Red Bobs 222	116.5	108.0	99.0	101.3	44.0	38.3
Marquis	119.3	110.8	102.0	103.8	38.9	36.3
Canus	<u>115.5</u>	<u>103.8</u>	<u>102.3</u>	<u>105.0</u>	<u>42.5</u>	<u>37.9</u>
Average	114.5	109.0	99.1	101.8	40.4	37.0

TABLE VIII

Analysis of variance of the growth periods
of defoliated and normal wheat varieties.

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Varieties	4	525.78	131.45	26.24*
Treatments	2	240.60	120.30	24.01*
Varieties x treatments	8	25.02	3.13	-
Blocks	3	5.40	1.80	-
Error	<u>42</u>	<u>210.40</u>	5.01	-
Total	59	1007.20	-	-

* Exceeds the 1% point.

TABLE 1

Summary of the results of the analysis of the data obtained from the experiments on the effect of the concentration of the solution on the rate of the reaction.

Concentration of the solution, g/l	Rate of the reaction, g/l·min	Rate of the reaction, g/l·min	Rate of the reaction, g/l·min	Rate of the reaction, g/l·min
0.1	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
0.2	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24
0.3	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36
0.4	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48
0.5	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
0.6	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72
0.7	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84
0.8	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
0.9	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08
1.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20
1.1	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.32
1.2	1.44	1.44	1.44	1.44
1.3	1.56	1.56	1.56	1.56
1.4	1.68	1.68	1.68	1.68
1.5	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80
1.6	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92
1.7	2.04	2.04	2.04	2.04
1.8	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.16
1.9	2.28	2.28	2.28	2.28
2.0	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40
2.1	2.52	2.52	2.52	2.52
2.2	2.64	2.64	2.64	2.64
2.3	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76
2.4	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88
2.5	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
2.6	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12
2.7	3.24	3.24	3.24	3.24
2.8	3.36	3.36	3.36	3.36
2.9	3.48	3.48	3.48	3.48
3.0	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60
3.1	3.72	3.72	3.72	3.72
3.2	3.84	3.84	3.84	3.84
3.3	3.96	3.96	3.96	3.96
3.4	4.08	4.08	4.08	4.08
3.5	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20
3.6	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32
3.7	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44
3.8	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.56
3.9	4.68	4.68	4.68	4.68
4.0	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
4.1	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92
4.2	5.04	5.04	5.04	5.04
4.3	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16
4.4	5.28	5.28	5.28	5.28
4.5	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40
4.6	5.52	5.52	5.52	5.52
4.7	5.64	5.64	5.64	5.64
4.8	5.76	5.76	5.76	5.76
4.9	5.88	5.88	5.88	5.88
5.0	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
5.1	6.12	6.12	6.12	6.12
5.2	6.24	6.24	6.24	6.24
5.3	6.36	6.36	6.36	6.36
5.4	6.48	6.48	6.48	6.48
5.5	6.60	6.60	6.60	6.60
5.6	6.72	6.72	6.72	6.72
5.7	6.84	6.84	6.84	6.84
5.8	6.96	6.96	6.96	6.96
5.9	7.08	7.08	7.08	7.08
6.0	7.20	7.20	7.20	7.20
6.1	7.32	7.32	7.32	7.32
6.2	7.44	7.44	7.44	7.44
6.3	7.56	7.56	7.56	7.56
6.4	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68
6.5	7.80	7.80	7.80	7.80
6.6	7.92	7.92	7.92	7.92
6.7	8.04	8.04	8.04	8.04
6.8	8.16	8.16	8.16	8.16
6.9	8.28	8.28	8.28	8.28
7.0	8.40	8.40	8.40	8.40
7.1	8.52	8.52	8.52	8.52
7.2	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64
7.3	8.76	8.76	8.76	8.76
7.4	8.88	8.88	8.88	8.88
7.5	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
7.6	9.12	9.12	9.12	9.12
7.7	9.24	9.24	9.24	9.24
7.8	9.36	9.36	9.36	9.36
7.9	9.48	9.48	9.48	9.48
8.0	9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60
8.1	9.72	9.72	9.72	9.72
8.2	9.84	9.84	9.84	9.84
8.3	9.96	9.96	9.96	9.96
8.4	10.08	10.08	10.08	10.08
8.5	10.20	10.20	10.20	10.20
8.6	10.32	10.32	10.32	10.32
8.7	10.44	10.44	10.44	10.44
8.8	10.56	10.56	10.56	10.56
8.9	10.68	10.68	10.68	10.68
9.0	10.80	10.80	10.80	10.80
9.1	10.92	10.92	10.92	10.92
9.2	11.04	11.04	11.04	11.04
9.3	11.16	11.16	11.16	11.16
9.4	11.28	11.28	11.28	11.28
9.5	11.40	11.40	11.40	11.40
9.6	11.52	11.52	11.52	11.52
9.7	11.64	11.64	11.64	11.64
9.8	11.76	11.76	11.76	11.76
9.9	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88
10.0	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00

Notes: 1. The rate of the reaction is calculated as the ratio of the amount of the substance consumed to the time of the reaction.

TABLE IX

Analysis of variance of the height at maturity of normal and defoliated wheat varieties.

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Varieties	4	290.33	72.59	3.29**
Treatments	2	646.70	323.35	14.65*
Varieties x treatments	8	388.47	48.56	2.20**
Blocks	3	190.60	63.53	2.88**
Error	42	926.90	22.07	-
Total	59	2443.00	-	-

* Exceeds the 1% point.

** Exceeds the 5% point.

TABLE X

Analysis of variance of the yield of normal
and defoliated wheat varieties.

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Varieties	4	280.60	70.15	14.58*
Treatments	2	218.27	109.14	22.69*
Varieties x treatments	8	119.98	15.00	3.12*
Blocks	3	77.63	25.88	5.38*
Error	42	202.02	4.81	-
Total	59	1104.10	-	-

* Exceeds the 1% point.

Height. A summary of the data obtained on the height of defoliated and non-injured plants is presented in Table VII. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table IX. The mean square due to treatments is significant and, therefore, it may be concluded that defoliation resulted in a reduction in height.

Yield. A summary of the data obtained on the yield of defoliated and non-injured plants is presented in Table VII. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained, and this is presented in Table X.

According to the data in Table VII, on the average, those plants defoliated in the two-leaf stage suffered a decrease in yield of 3.4 bushels per acre, and those defoliated in the five-leaf stage suffered a decrease of 4.4 bushels per acre when compared with non-injured plants. The standard error of the difference between the means of any two treatments was calculated and found to be equal to 0.693 bushels, consequently differences in excess of 1.4 bushels may be considered significant. It is evident that the decreases in yield, due to defoliation, are statistically significant. Also it is evident that the yield of plants defoliated in the five-leaf stage was not significantly different from that of plants defoliated in the two-leaf stage.

Unfortunately data on the yield per plant of those plants transplanted to the field are not available for comparison with these results. It will be recalled, however, that in the

TABLE 1. Summary of the data obtained in the

experiments on the effect of the concentration of the

TABLE II. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE III. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE IV. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE V. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE VI. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE VII. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE VIII. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE IX. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE X. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XI. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XII. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XIII. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XIV. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XV. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XVI. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XVII. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XVIII. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XIX. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XX. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XXI. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XXII. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XXIII. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XXIV. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

TABLE XXV. The results of the experiments on the effect of the

transplantation experiment defoliation, in almost every instance, resulted in a reduction in the number of fertile culms per plant. If it is assumed that yield is correlated with the number of fertile culms, then the results obtained in these two experiments are essentially similar.

Differential ability of varieties to recover from defoliation and frost injury in the seedling stage.

In these experiments the varieties used suffered approximately the same degree of frost injury in the seedling stage. It was found that such injury modified the maturity, height and number of fertile culms per plant. The question as to whether or not varietal differences occurred in the degree of modification will now be considered.

The data obtained from the comparison of defoliated and non-injured plants in Experiment 2 are of interest in this regard. The significance of the mean squares obtained for the interaction of varieties and treatments (Tables VIII, IX and X) may be used as a measure of differential varietal response. In the cases of yield and height significant interactions were evident (Tables IX and X). It is apparent from the data presented in Table VII that a differential response in yield occurred when the varieties were defoliated at the five-leaf stage, as in this group Garnet and Marquis gave relatively

higher yields than did Red Bobs 222, Reward or Camus. In other words, the results show that under the conditions of this experiment Garnet and Marquis were better able to recover after defoliation in the five-leaf stage than were the other varieties in the test. Similarly, the data concerning height show that the relative damage resulting from defoliation in the two- and in the five-leaf stages was more severe for one variety than another; for example, Garnet was more severely damaged when defoliated in the five-leaf stage, while Camus suffered more in the two-leaf stage.

Considering the data obtained in Experiment 1, it will be noted that in many individual instances a given variety was apparently better able to recover from injury than were the other varieties. When these data are considered as a whole, however, it is apparent that no one of the varieties was consistently able to recover from the same degree of injury to a markedly greater extent than were any of the other varieties.

From these results it appears that, in as far as seedling frost damage affects the resulting plant growth, the variety showing the greatest seedling injury will have its subsequent growth affected to the greatest extent. It follows, therefore, that seedling frost reaction of wheat plants is a good index of such plants. These conditions are, however, subject to the limitations of these experiments and might need altering if data were available for a greater number of varieties studied for other growth characters under different environmental conditions.

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

Discussion.

As has been pointed out, Waldron (20) concluded from the results he obtained that seedling frost injury must reduce the yield per acre. The results obtained in the present investigation are not conclusive in this regard but seem, for the most part, to support this contention. In practically all cases the plants severely injured by low temperatures had fewer fertile culms per plant than did the comparable non-injured plants. Waldron's data show that the yield per culm of the injured plants was lower than the yield per culm of the non-injured plants. If such is the case in the present investigation, the decrease in yield per plant would be greater than the decrease in the number of fertile culms per plant would indicate. The reduction in yield per plant under the conditions of this experiment does not necessarily mean that a reduction in yield per acre would occur with a similar amount of injury under field conditions. In fact, when the amount of nutrients per plant was extremely limited, as when the plants were grown to maturity in pots, the majority of the injured plants failed to show a reduction in the number of fertile culms, when compared with the non-injured plants. Such a condition might be expected to occur under certain field conditions. On the other hand a definite reduction in yield per acre was obtained under field conditions due to defoliation. Furthermore, this reduction was at least somewhat comparable to the reduction in fertile culms per plant of the defoliated plants growing under similar conditions to those severely frozen. These latter results

appear to support the contention of Waldron that frost injury in the seedling stage does cause a reduction in yield per acre under field conditions.

The results obtained with regard to the influence of seedling injury on the resulting plant height indicate that any differences in height, attributable to this factor, are not of sufficient magnitude to be of any significance in commercial wheat production. The great variability obtained in the differences in height between injured and non-injured plants is evidence that, in addition to seedling injury, other factors were exerting a marked influence on this character.

Seedling injury, due to frost or defoliation, appears to definitely increase the growth period of the plants so injured. In areas such as west central and northern Alberta this lengthening of the growth period is of paramount importance in that it increases the hazard of fall frosts which severely reduce both the yield and the quality of the grain. The data obtained indicate that an increase of about nine days in the growth period may be expected when plants are severely frozen. Such an increase would, in many years, in such areas as have been mentioned, doom the crop to exposure to fall frosts previous to maturity with all the loss that this occasions, aside from any loss in yield that the seedling injury in itself may have caused. It is recognized that the delay observed in the maturing of injured plants in these experiments may not be comparable with that obtained in the field. The only check

agreed to support the Government of India and to
as the result of which the Government of India
under India's jurisdiction.

The Government of India, in the meantime,
agreed to support the Government of India and to
as the result of which the Government of India
under India's jurisdiction.

The Government of India, in the meantime,
agreed to support the Government of India and to
as the result of which the Government of India
under India's jurisdiction.

available is that between the defoliated plants growing under field conditions and those growing under conditions similar to the frosted plants. In this comparison the delay occasioned in each instance was of approximately the same duration.

It should be kept in mind that in all cases the injured plants in these experiments developed under conditions very favorable to recovery. Had less favorable conditions prevailed, the results might have been vastly different. Nevertheless it seems probable that had conditions been less favorable, due to drought or similar factors, the injured plants would have suffered as much or possibly more, relative to the checks, than they actually did.

In conclusion, it may be said that the results obtained support the thesis that damage to wheat seedlings by exposures to freezing temperatures results in injuries that persist throughout the lives of the plants.

The Effect of Various Factors on the Survival of Plants
Exposed to Freezing Temperatures

Salmon (14) says that success in evaluating the results of artificial freezing and in studying resistance to cold in general, depends on good technique, that is in avoiding various sources of error which may interfere with the logical interpretation of the results obtained. At the beginning of this investigation several experiments were conducted in order to find, if possible, and evaluate what might be sources of error in conducting future experiments using artificially produced low temperatures.

Soil moisture content.

Literature review.

A great many observations have been recorded on the relative cold resistance of plants growing in dry and wet soils. These observations, while of considerable significance from many standpoints, are not of much value in predicting what will happen in artificial tests because there are associated factors, such as heaving, at work in the field that are not operative in these latter tests.

Klages (6) has, however, conducted experiments to determine the relative survival of plants, growing in wet and

THE EFFECT OF VIBRATION ON THE BEHAVIOUR OF SOILS
IN THE STATE OF EQUILIBRIUM

It is well known that the behaviour of soils is very sensitive to the frequency and amplitude of vibration. In the case of soils, the effect of vibration is to change the state of equilibrium of the soil mass. This is due to the fact that the soil particles are subjected to a periodic motion, which causes them to move relative to each other. This relative motion leads to a change in the contact forces between the particles, which in turn affects the overall behaviour of the soil mass. The effect of vibration on the behaviour of soils is a complex phenomenon, and it is not yet fully understood. However, it is clear that vibration has a significant effect on the behaviour of soils, and this effect must be taken into account in the design of structures and foundations.

Soil behaviour during

liquefaction

A soil is said to be in a state of liquefaction when the effective stress between the soil particles is reduced to zero. This occurs when the soil is subjected to a cyclic loading, which causes the soil particles to move relative to each other. The relative motion of the particles leads to a change in the contact forces between them, which in turn causes the effective stress to decrease. When the effective stress reaches zero, the soil is said to be in a state of liquefaction. In this state, the soil loses its ability to support any load, and it behaves like a fluid. Liquefaction is a dangerous phenomenon, as it can lead to the failure of structures and foundations. It is therefore important to understand the conditions under which liquefaction occurs, and to take steps to prevent it.

dry soils, when exposed to artificially produced freezing temperatures. He found that plants growing in dry soil were more resistant than those growing in wet soil during the early part of the exposure; but, when killing set in, it progressed much more rapidly among the former than among the latter plants. He believes that the early resistance, shown by the plants grown in dry soil, was due to the retardation of plant growth; while the later resistance shown by the plants grown in wet soil, was due to the protective effect of water. The specific heat of water is 1.000; of sand, 0.193; of clay, 0.206 and of loam, 0.215; consequently the more water a soil contains the slower it will cool. Apparently no attempt was made to separate the effects of the two factors believed to be at work, namely, the physiological effect of varying moisture on the growth of the plant and the physical effect of the protective action of water as such.

Salmon (14), in testing the reaction of winter wheat varieties to artificially produced freezing temperatures, noted that they were more resistant when grown in wet soil. However, when the plants were subjected to prolonged chilling previous to an exposure to freezing temperatures, these differences disappeared. He therefore concludes that the differences originally noted were due to a temperature lag in the wet soil.

Experiment 1.

Methods.

In this experiment the plants were grown in soil maintained at a uniform moisture content during the growth of the plants, but at varying moisture contents during the exposure to freezing temperatures. Six varieties of spring wheat were used. These were grown in wooden flats, all varieties occurring once in each flat.

All flats were kept at a uniform moisture content (approximately 50 per cent of the moisture-holding capacity of the soil) until just before the plants were subjected to freezing temperatures. At this time they were allowed to dry until the moisture content was 20 per cent of the moisture-holding capacity of the soil. Twelve of the 36 flats were left at this moisture content, 12 were brought to a moisture content of 50, and 12 to a moisture content of 65 per cent of the moisture holding capacity of the soil.

The 36 flats were treated in the following manner. Three lots, each consisting of four flats at 20, four at 50 and four at 65 per cent moisture, were subjected to freezing temperatures. The first was subjected to a temperature of -12°C . for four hours without any pre-chilling; the second was pre-chilled for four hours and the temperature was then lowered to -12°C . and maintained for three hours; the third was pre-chilled for twelve hours and then subjected to a temperature of -12°C . for four hours.

Results.

A summary of the data obtained in this experiment with regard to the survival of plants growing in soil of varying moisture contents at the time of freezing is presented in Table XI. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table XII.

It will be noted that, on the average, there is a direct relationship between the survival indices and the moisture contents of the soil. The highest survival indices were obtained when the moisture content of the soil was highest, the lowest when the moisture content was lowest, and intermediate when the moisture content was intermediate.

It will be noted (Table XII) that, neither the mean square due to the first order interaction of varieties and soil moisture contents, nor the mean square due to the second order interaction of varieties and soil moisture contents and freezing treatments, can be regarded as being significant. It can be concluded, therefore, that varying the moisture content of the soil and varying the amount of pre-chilling, did not significantly alter the relative varietal reaction to freezing temperatures.

The mean square due to the interaction of moisture contents and freezing treatments (Table XII) may be regarded as significant. This indicates that the reduction in the survival of plants, due to lower moisture contents, was

TABLE XI

Survival indices of spring wheat varieties when exposed to freezing temperatures in soil of various moisture contents, and with various periods of pre-chilling.

Variety	C.A.N.	Mean survival index											
		65% moisture holding capacity			50% moisture holding capacity			20% moisture holding capacity					
		A*	B	C	Av.	A	B	C	Av.	A	B	C	Av.
Red Bobs 222	1637	62.5	59.0	33.5	51.7	18.8	59.5	29.0	35.8	48.5	39.6	29.8	39.3
Canus	-	39.8	66.0	42.8	49.5	30.0	68.3	34.3	44.2	15.0	41.3	21.5	25.9
Garnet	1316	27.5	62.0	25.0	38.2	19.0	54.8	23.0	32.3	41.8	27.8	19.3	29.6
Marquis	1621	46.3	39.5	30.3	38.7	24.0	51.3	32.8	36.0	10.0	33.5	23.3	22.3
Caesium 0.111	1256	30.5	65.3	14.0	36.6	24.5	59.0	11.5	31.7	17.3	49.5	12.3	26.4
Reward	1509	26.8	41.8	32.0	33.5	25.0	43.8	28.8	32.5	18.3	33.3	21.0	24.2
Average		38.9	55.6	29.6	41.4	23.6	56.1	26.6	35.4	25.2	37.5	21.2	28.0

* A - Not pre-chilled. Subjected to a temperature of -12°C . for four hours.

B - Pre-chilled for four hours, temperature lowered to -12°C . and maintained at this for three hours.

C - Pre-chilled for twelve hours, subjected to a temperature of -12°C . for four hours.

TABLE

Summary of results from various tests conducted on the material under test. The results are given in the following table.

TEST RESULTS									
TEST NO.		TEST DATE		TEST TIME		TEST LOCATION		TEST OPERATOR	
1		2		3		4		5	
1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

These results are given for the purpose of information only. They are not to be used for any other purpose.

TABLE XII

Analysis of variance of the survival indices of wheat seedlings exposed to freezing temperatures in soil of various moisture contents and with various periods of pre-chilling.

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Varieties	5	5,025	1,005.0	4.31*
Moisture contents	2	5,979	2,989.5	12.82*
Freezing treatments	2	25,354	12,677.0	54.38*
Varieties x moisture contents	10	2,809	280.9	1.21
Varieties x freezing treatments	10	5,678	567.8	2.44**
Treatments x moisture contents	4	2,776	694.0	2.98**
Varieties x moisture contents x treatments	20	5,395	269.8	1.16
Error	162	37,770	233.1	-
Total	215	90,786	-	-

* Exceed the 1% point.

** Exceed the 5% point.

influenced by the amount of pre-chilling to which the plants were subjected. For the most part it was found that plants growing in unchilled soil were more sensitive to variations in moisture content than were those growing in chilled soil. The data presented in Table XI show that the least variation in survival occurred when the plants were pre-chilled for twelve hours. When the plants were pre-chilled for four hours, those on the soil having an intermediate moisture content gave relatively high survivals while those on the soil having a low moisture content gave relatively low survivals. Also, it will be noted that those plants which were not chilled gave relatively high survivals on soil having a low moisture content and relatively low survivals on soil having an intermediate moisture content.

It has been shown (6) that the temperature of moist soil lags more upon exposure to low temperatures than does that of dry soil. This protective effect of water can be used to explain the fact that, on the average, the plants growing in wet soil suffered less than similar plants growing in dry soil. It would be expected that, the differences in survival of plants growing on wet and dry soil would be lessened when pre-chilling occurred as the wet soil would have radiated much of its potential heat previous to the advent of freezing temperatures. In general, the results obtained indicate that this occurred. More important, however, from the standpoint of technique is the fact that comparable results cannot be obtained unless the moisture content of the soil is uniform throughout the experiment at

the time when the plants are exposed to freezing temperatures. It is also worthy of note that pre-chilling for twelve hours reduces variability from this source and is, therefore, an added precaution that may be expected to help in reducing such an error.

Experiment 2.

Methods.

In this experiment the plants were grown in sand and in soil maintained at various moisture contents during the growth of the plants, but at a uniform moisture content during the exposure to freezing temperatures. Marquis wheat was the only variety used.

The plants were grown in glazed crocks of one gallon capacity. Two series of nine crocks each were used. The substratum used in Series I was Edmonton loam, while in Series II it was washed sand. A complete nutrient solution was added to the sand cultures. This solution consisted of 5 c.c. of calcium nitrate, 5 c.c. of potassium nitrate, 2 c.c. of magnesium sulphate, 1 c.c. of potassium acid phosphate and 1 c.c. of ferric tartrate per litre. The ferric tartrate was added every two days.

Three series, each consisting of three sand and three soil cultures, were maintained at constant moisture levels; one at 30, one at 45, and one at 60 per cent of the moisture holding capacity of the substrate concerned.

The fact that the plant was growing in a field of
corn is also worthy of note that the plant was
found growing in the field of corn, and the
fact that the plant was found in the field of corn
is also worthy of note that the plant was found in the field of corn.

Section 2.

Section 3.

The plant was found in the field of corn, and the
fact that the plant was found in the field of corn
is also worthy of note that the plant was found in the field of corn.
The plant was found in the field of corn, and the
fact that the plant was found in the field of corn
is also worthy of note that the plant was found in the field of corn.

The plant was found in the field of corn, and the
fact that the plant was found in the field of corn
is also worthy of note that the plant was found in the field of corn.
The plant was found in the field of corn, and the
fact that the plant was found in the field of corn
is also worthy of note that the plant was found in the field of corn.
The plant was found in the field of corn, and the
fact that the plant was found in the field of corn
is also worthy of note that the plant was found in the field of corn.

The plant was found in the field of corn, and the
fact that the plant was found in the field of corn
is also worthy of note that the plant was found in the field of corn.
The plant was found in the field of corn, and the
fact that the plant was found in the field of corn
is also worthy of note that the plant was found in the field of corn.

At the time of freezing all cultures were brought to 60 per cent of their moisture holding capacities. They were pre-chilled for twelve hours and then exposed to a temperature of -12°C for four hours.

Results.

In Table XIII a summary of the survival indices of plants grown in sand and in soil at various moisture contents, and exposed to freezing temperatures at a uniform moisture content, is presented.

An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained. It was found that the mean square for moisture contents could not be regarded as being significant. It may be concluded therefore that growing the plants at these various moisture contents did not significantly alter their survival values when exposed to freezing temperatures at a uniform moisture content.

These results indicate that where ordinary care is exercised in watering, the variations in moisture content during the growth of the plants between containers in a given experiment, would not be sufficiently great to cause any marked variation in the survival values of the plants when exposed to freezing temperatures.

It is the duty of the Commission to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner. The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner. The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner.

Conclusion

The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner. The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner. The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner.

The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner. The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner. The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner.

The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner. The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner. The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner.

The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner. The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner. The Commission is required to ensure that the Commission is able to carry out its duties in an efficient and effective manner.

TABLE XIII

Survival indices of Marquis wheat seedlings when exposed to a temperature of -12°C . for four hours after being grown in sand and soil cultures maintained at various moisture contents.

Moisture content	Mean survival index		
	Sand culture	Soil culture	Average
30% of the moisture holding capacity	21.0	22.8	21.9
45% " " " " "	20.7	18.8	19.8
60% " " " " "	19.1	22.1	20.6

TABLE 10

These calculations were made by the National Highway Traffic Safety Board, U.S. Department of Transportation, and are based on the data of the National Highway Traffic Safety Board.

TABLE 10 - Continued						
Year	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965
1960	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
1961	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
1962	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01

Hardening.

Literature review.

It has been shown by many investigators, among whom might be mentioned Hill and Salmon (4), Martin (9), Salmon (14), and Tumanov (18), that the resistance of winter wheats to freezing temperatures may be greatly increased if they are previously exposed, for a considerable period, to comparatively low temperatures. Under field conditions winter wheats are normally subjected to natural hardening in this manner before the onslaught of severe cold weather. Salmon (14) has shown that, in the unhardened condition, winter wheat varieties differed little; whereas, in the hardened condition, they differed greatly in their resistance to artificially produced freezing temperatures. Furthermore, these latter differences were more closely correlated with the differences exhibited in the field than were the former.

In considering the seedling frost reaction of spring wheats it is apparent that, under field conditions long periods of hardening previous to the advent of sub-zero temperatures, do not occur. Nevertheless, some hardening probably does occur as comparatively low temperatures for varying periods of time usually precede the advent of sub-zero temperatures.

Peltier and Kiesselbach (13) appear to be the only authors to have investigated the effect of hardening on the frost reactions of spring grains. They studied the effect of

continuous and intermittent hardening and found that the continuously hardened plants were more resistant to frost injury than were the intermittently hardened plants. They conclude that either method may be used in determining varietal differences.

Methods.

Eight spring wheat varieties grown in flats, were pre-chilled for 36, 24, 12 and 0 hours and then exposed to a temperature of -10°C . for four hours. Each variety was replicated six times within each hardening treatment.

Results.

A summary of the data obtained with regard to the survival of spring wheat varieties pre-chilled for various periods of time and then exposed to sub-zero temperatures is presented in Table XIV. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained, and this is presented in Table XV.

The data show that pre-chilling markedly increased the frost resistance of the varieties concerned. Furthermore, pre-chilling for 24 or for 36 hours does not appear to be any more effective than pre-chilling for 12 hours.

The mean square due to the interaction of varieties and treatments (Table XV) cannot be regarded as significant.

TABLE XIV

Survival indices of spring wheat varieties pre-chilled for various periods of time and then exposed to a temperature of -10°C . for four hours.

Variety	S u r v i v a l i n d e x				Mean
	Pre-chilled 36 hours	Pre-chilled 24 hours	Pre-chilled 12 hours	Pre-chilled 0 hours	
Ceres	67.8	68.3	75.8	19.8	58.0
D.C. I-28-65	64.7	73.3	67.2	26.3	57.9
Red Bobs 222	68.3	71.8	65.5	24.3	57.5
Reliance	63.8	63.7	73.2	23.0	55.9
Canus	66.2	70.2	60.2	17.5	53.5
Garnet	50.3	52.3	63.5	9.8	44.0
Marquis	61.7	53.8	42.7	9.3	41.9
Reward	54.0	48.7	35.0	16.8	38.6
Mean	62.1	62.8	60.4	18.4	50.9

TABLE IV

Monthly index of retail prices of selected commodities for the period 1913-1914 to 1917-1918. The base is 100 for the year 1913-1914.

Commodity	Index			
	1913-14	1914-15	1915-16	1916-17
Corn	100	100	100	100
Wheat	100	100	100	100
Barley	100	100	100	100
Oats	100	100	100	100
Hay	100	100	100	100
Stocks	100	100	100	100
Bonds	100	100	100	100
Real Estate	100	100	100	100
Gold	100	100	100	100
Silver	100	100	100	100
Iron	100	100	100	100
Copper	100	100	100	100
Lead	100	100	100	100
Zinc	100	100	100	100
Aluminum	100	100	100	100
Steel	100	100	100	100
Coal	100	100	100	100
Oil	100	100	100	100
Gas	100	100	100	100
Electricity	100	100	100	100
Telephone	100	100	100	100
Postage	100	100	100	100
Insurance	100	100	100	100
Banking	100	100	100	100
Transportation	100	100	100	100
Food	100	100	100	100
Clothing	100	100	100	100
Shelter	100	100	100	100
Health	100	100	100	100
Education	100	100	100	100
Recreation	100	100	100	100
Religion	100	100	100	100
Government	100	100	100	100
Foreign	100	100	100	100
War	100	100	100	100

TABLE XV

Analysis of variance of the survival indices of
spring wheat varieties pre-chilled for various
periods.

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Varieties	7	10,888	1,555	3.73*
Treatments	3	67,876	22,625	54.27*
Varieties x treatments	21	5,267	251	-
Error	160	66,751	417	-
Total	191	150,782	-	-

* Exceed the 1% point.

This indicates that the relative varietal reaction was the same in each of the hardening treatments and consequently it may be concluded that, in determining relative varietal reactions to freezing temperatures, the amount of pre-chilling to which the plants are subjected is of little consequence. However, it was noted that the coefficient of variability was considerably lower when the plants were pre-chilled than was the case when the plants were not pre-chilled. Again, increasing the duration of the pre-chilling period for more than twelve hours did not reduce the variability from that obtained when the pre-chilling period was twelve hours. It is known that in the freezing chamber used great care must be exercised if a uniform temperature is to be maintained throughout the chamber. It is possible that when flats containing warm soil (unchilled) are placed in such a chamber, the rapid radiation of heat from the soil sets up air currents that militate against a uniform temperature within the chamber, which results in variable survival. When, however, the plants are pre-chilled for twelve or more hours previous to freezing, the temperature of the soil approaches more closely that of the freezing chamber, with the result that radiation of heat is less rapid and there is less tendency to set up violent air currents.

With these considerations in mind, it has been the practice since this experiment was conducted to chill all plants for 12 hours previous to an exposure to freezing temperatures.

.

Border effect within flats.

It was thought desirable to determine whether or not plants growing near the sides of the flats differed in frost reaction from similar plants growing near the centre of the flat.

The border rows of each flat used in the experiment on the effect of varying moisture contents of the soil on the resulting frost injury of plants growing therein, were seeded to Marquis wheat. One row of Marquis also occurred at random in one of the inside rows. It will be recalled that three freezing treatments were used in this experiment. The first lot was subjected to a temperature of -12°C . for four hours without any pre-chilling. The second was pre-chilled for four hours and the temperature was then lowered to -12°C . and maintained for three hours. The third was pre-chilled for twelve hours and then subjected to a temperature of -12°C . for four hours.

As there were 12 flats occurring in each freezing treatment, there were survival indices from 24 border and 12 inside rows of Marquis available for comparison within each freezing treatment. A summary of the data obtained is presented in Table XVI. The statistical significance of the differences in survival indices noted were judged by the "T" method described by Fisher (2) for evaluating the significance of differences in means of small samples. It will be noted that in no instances were the differences in survival indices obtained statistically significant.

TABLE XVI

Survival indices of border and inside rows
of Marquis wheat seedlings exposed to freez-
ing temperatures.

Freezing treatment	Mean survival index		Difference	P value
	Border rows	Inside rows		
Not pre-chilled. Subjected to a temperature of -12°C. for four hours.	22.5	26.8	4.3	>.4
Pre-chilled for four hours, temperature lowered to -12°C. and maintained for three hours.	43.1	41.3	-1.8	>.4
Pre-chilled for twelve hours and then subjected to a temperature of - 12°C. for four hours.	30.7	28.5	-2.2	>.4

It would appear in the light of these results that border effect in flats may be neglected in evaluating the frost reaction of wheat seedlings.

Endosperm development of the seed.

Peltier and Kiesselbach (13) have pointed out that wheat seedlings are most susceptible to injury in the three-leaf stage, and they postulate that these plants are less able to withstand frost injury because, at this time, the food reserves of the endosperm have reached the point of exhaustion. If this theory is correct it would seem likely that if the normal development of the endosperm were interfered with to any great extent, seedlings grown from such seeds would be more susceptible to frost injury than seedlings grown from normal seeds. Furthermore, if such a relationship exists it is important in artificial tests to use seed that has attained a uniform development of food reserves.

Methods.

The increase plots of Marquis wheat grown at various places on the University farm in 1934 were all injured by frost except one small lot grown in the greenhouse. It was thus possible to obtain a supply of seed that, as far as could be determined, was uniform except that it varied in frost damage. Four samples of seed were selected. One lot was normal (unfrozen); a second lot

1903

was lightly frozen; a third severely frozen and a fourth normal with a portion of the endosperm artificially removed. The weights of 1,000 kernel lots of these different types of seed are presented in Table XVII.

The seeds as described were sown in flats. One lot of flats had previously been filled with Edmonton black soil and another lot with Fallis gray soil.*

Each of the four seed treatments was replicated 16 times; 8 times on the black and 8 times on the gray soil.

When the plants reached the two-leaf stage they were pre-chilled for 12 hours and then exposed to a temperature of -10°C . for four hours.

Results.

A summary of the data obtained is presented in Table XVII.

The standard error of the difference between the average survival indices of any two treatments was calculated and found to be equal to 5.1 units; consequently, differences between treatments must exceed 10.2 units in order to be considered significant. The data show that differences of this magnitude were not obtained. These results would indicate that the amount of endosperm present in the seed has little influence on the frost reaction of the plants grown from each seed.

* A description of these soil types is presented in a later section of this paper entitled "The reaction of differently nourished plants to freezing temperatures."

TABLE XVII

The effect of differences in soil type and endosperm condition on the survival indices of Marquis wheat seedlings exposed to a temperature of -10°C . for four hours.

Condition of the seed used	Weight per 1,000 kernels of the seed used	Mean survival index		
		Black soil	Gray soil	Average
Normal	35.5	77	66	72
Endosperm partially removed	24.7	73	67	70
Slightly frozen	31.2	79	66	73
Severely frozen	22.7	68	66	67

TABLE VIII

THE EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON THE RATE OF
 DECOMPOSITION OF POTASSIUM PERMANGANATE
 IN AQUEOUS SOLUTION AT A PRESSURE OF 1 ATMOSPHERE
 (From Table I)

TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES CENTIGRADE	PERCENTAGE DECOMPOSITION AT THE END OF		RATE OF DECOMPOSITION AT THE END OF ONE HOUR	EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON THE RATE OF DECOMPOSITION
	10 MIN.	20 MIN.		
25	10	20	0.10	Normal
37	15	30	0.15	Increased decomposition
42	20	40	0.20	Increased decomposition
55	30	60	0.30	Increased decomposition

The Reaction of Differently Nourished Plants to Freezing Temperatures.

Literature review.

It has been shown by several investigators (7,11,15) that, within limits, the osmotic pressure of the cell sap is intimately associated with the cold resistance of the plants concerned. It is also known (7) that the osmotic pressure of the cell sap can be modified by varying the nutrition of the plant. Several investigators have, therefore, studied the response of plants to low temperatures under varying nutritional conditions.

Patanelli (12) studied the effect of sodium, potassium and magnesium salts on plants exposed to freezing and to chilling temperatures. He concluded that resistance to cold was not related to the concentration of cell sap nor with its salt content, but rather with the amount of sugar retained during cooling.

Sellschop and Salmon (16) applied solutions of various salts to soil in which were growing peanuts, cowpeas, maize and cotton and observed the effects of chilling these plants. They found that potassium nitrate and potassium chloride exerted a protective effect, while calcium chloride, calcium nitrate, sodium chloride and sodium nitrate exerted a deleterious effect upon the survival of the chilled plants.

Holbert (5), by using a portable refrigerating machine, was able to freeze fertilized and unfertilized corn plants growing in the field. Plants growing in well fertilized plots were found to be more resistant to frost in the seedling stage, and also at the time of ear formation, than were similar plants growing in unfertilized soil.

Magistad and Truog (7) were also able to accentuate the cold resistance of corn plants by the application of fertilizers. They found that such an application increased the osmotic pressure of the sap of young corn plants which in turn lowered its freezing point one to two degrees. They concluded that the greatest benefits to be obtained from such a fertilizer application are likely to occur on peat and sandy soils low in soluble salt content.

Schaffnit and Wilhelm (15) have recently conducted an extensive series of experiments with tomato, potato, barley, rye, wheat and oat plants, using specially constructed glass-enclosed cool temperature chambers. Plants were grown with normal amounts of potassium, nitrogen and phosphorous, and with deficiencies and excesses of each of these elements. It was found that those plants suffering from a deficiency of potassium had a very low osmotic pressure and suffered most from low temperatures, while those having an excess of potassium or a deficiency of either nitrogen or of phosphorous had a higher osmotic pressure than normally nourished plants and suffered less by exposure to cold. An excess of nitrogen or of phosphorous did not greatly change the osmotic

pressure from that occurring in normally nourished plants. They conclude that an adequate application of potassium salts will best protect against low temperatures.

This work of Schaffnit and Wilhelm (15) indicates that the protective effect of fertilizers is due to the specific action of a particular ion rather than the correction of an unbalanced nutrient condition. As the work of earlier investigators was done with soil cultures, it was not possible to determine, except in a general way, whether or not the protective effects they noted were due to a specific ion or to the correction of an unbalanced nutrient condition. As a result much work remains to be done before this question can be answered with certainty.

In the present investigation it was hoped to study this problem by adding various nutrients to two different soils varying rather widely in chemical composition. By this means the elements most likely to be concerned in increasing or decreasing cold resistance could possibly be determined, and these could then be studied in detail. Unfortunately the studies have not, as yet, advanced beyond the preliminary stage.

Methods.

Two soils, a gray soil collected at Fallis, Alberta, and a black soil collected at Edmonton, Alberta, were used in these experiments. A detailed comparison of these two types of soil has been presented by Wyatt and Newton (21). The gray soils are rather badly leached, of poor fertility, and are slightly acid in reaction. The black soils, on the other hand,

have not suffered by leaching to any extent, are very fertile and are neutral to very slightly acid in reaction. According to the analyses of Wyatt and Newton, cited above, the gray soils compared with the black are low in nitrogen, phosphorous and calcium. Positive responses in plant growth were obtained by these investigators when any of the above elements were applied as a fertilizer to these gray soils, but the most marked results were obtained when a combination of nitrogen, phosphorous and organic matter was applied. Fertilizers added to the black soil used in this investigation usually failed to give any marked response in plant growth. When such a response is obtained it usually occurs following the application of phosphates. Wyatt and Newton do not present data on the potassium content of the black and gray soils. However, when they applied this element as a fertilizer little response was obtained, consequently it would seem probable that an adequate quantity of this element is present in both of these soils to produce normal plant growth.

In all, three experiments were conducted in which the frost reaction of plants growing in these two soil types was compared.

In the first experiment three varieties of spring wheat, three of barley and two of oats were grown in the black and in the gray soil. Each variety was replicated eight times in each soil type. One half (four replicates) of the plants growing in the black soil and one half of those growing in the gray soil, were frozen shortly after they emerged and the balance when they had

reached the two-leaf stage. In the case of the former plants, the endosperm of the seed was only partially exhausted at the time of freezing and the plants were probably still receiving a good deal of their nourishment from this source.

In all cases the plants were pre-chilled for twelve hours and then exposed to a temperature of -10°C . for four hours.

In the second experiment only two varieties, Canus and Marquis spring wheat, were used.

The following chemicals were applied to each soil at the rate of 200 pounds per acre: potassium acid phosphate, sodium acid phosphate, potassium nitrate, sodium nitrate and calcium oxide.

The five treatments and the check on each soil gave a total of 12 flats for a single replicate, and as this was approximately the capacity of the freezing chamber, it was necessary to repeat the experiment to provide for replication. In all it was repeated six times over a period of several months and the reactions of approximately 1,000 seedlings in each treatment on each soil type were determined. In all cases the plants were chilled and frozen as in the first experiment.

In the third experiment only one variety, Marquis, was used. The plants were grown in flower pots. Four lots of material were grown. One lot was grown on normal black soil, one lot on fertilized black soil, one lot on normal gray soil and one lot on fertilized gray soil. Each treatment was replicated 20 times, and each replicate of each treatment

...the
... ..
... ..
... ..

In all cases the
... ..
... ..
... ..

The following
... ..
... ..
... ..

The
... ..
... ..
... ..

In all it was
... ..
... ..
... ..

In the
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

consisted of approximately 15 seedlings. The fertilizer applied was a "complete" fertilizer containing potassium, nitrogen, phosphorous and sulphur. It was applied at the rate of 200 pounds per acre.

The plants were chilled and frozen as in the first experiment.

Results.

A summary of the data obtained in the first experiment with regard to the reaction of wheat, oat and barley varieties, when grown on black and gray soil and then exposed to freezing temperatures in the one- and two-leaf stages, is presented in Table XVIII. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained, and this is presented in Table XIX.

It will be noted that, on the average, those plants growing on the gray soil were more severely damaged than those plants growing on the black soil. This difference is statistically significant as is shown by the significant mean square due to soil types, Table XIX. Furthermore, the mean square due to the interaction of stages of development and soil types may be regarded as significant. This indicates that the difference in survival depends upon the stage at which the plants were exposed to the freezing temperatures. The data presented in Table XVIII show that the plants grown on the gray soil, and exposed to freezing temperatures shortly after emergence, suffered less but not significantly less than similar plants grown on the black soil. However, when the

remained at approximately 15 mm. The temperature was
at a constant level throughout the experiment. The
temperature and humidity. It was found that the rate of
the rate.

The above results are shown in the figure.
Figure 1.

Results.

A summary of the data obtained in the first experiment
with regard to the reaction of wheat, oat and barley varieties
when grown in black soil and then exposed to freezing
temperatures in the one- and two-day stages, is presented in
Table II. An analysis of variance was conducted from the
data obtained, and this is presented in Table III.

It will be noted that, in the present, those plants
growing in the first soil with which they were exposed to freezing
temperatures in the one-day soil. This difference is significant
and is shown by the significant differences in the inter-
types. Table II. Furthermore, the two groups due to the inter-
action of treatment and soil types are not significant in
significant. This indicates that the difference in treatment was
not significant when the plants were exposed to the treatment
temperatures. The data presented in Table III show that the
plants grown in the first soil, and exposed to freezing temperatures
showed a significant difference from the other groups.

TABLE XVIII

Survival indices of wheat, oat and barley varieties grown on black and gray soils and exposed to freezing temperatures in the one- and two-leaf stages.

Variety	C.A.N.	Mean survival index						Av.	1-leaf stage	2-leaf stage	Av.
		Black soil			Gray soil						
		1-leaf stage	2-leaf stage	Av.	1-leaf stage	2-leaf stage	Av.				
<u>Wheat:</u>											
Canus	-	82.3	82.8	82.6	86.0	55.8	70.9				
Garnet	1316	67.0	60.8	63.9	69.0	27.0	48.0				
Marquis	1621	71.5	53.3	62.4	65.5	30.3	47.9				
<u>Barley:</u>											
Trebi	753	38.8	70.8	54.8	57.3	49.8	53.6				
O.A.C.21	734	49.5	61.0	55.3	58.5	37.0	47.8				
Glabron	718	42.0	64.8	53.4	51.8	32.5	42.2				
<u>Oats:</u>											
Victory	518	28.3	59.5	43.9	35.0	31.8	33.4				
Legacy	460	27.8	42.8	35.3	23.8	23.3	23.6				
Average		50.9	62.0	56.5	55.9	35.9	45.9				

TABLE XIX

Analysis of variance of the survival indices of wheat, oat and barley varieties grown on black and gray soils and exposed to freezing temperatures in the one- and two-leaf stages.

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Stages of development	1	634.55	634.55	1.92
Soil types	1	3,538.45	3,538.45	10.71*
Stages of development x soil types.	1	7,672.55	7,672.55	23.22*
Varieties	7	21,428.06	3,061.15	9.26*
Varieties x stages of development.	7	7,162.44	1,023.21	3.10*
Varieties x soil types	7	570.34	81.48	-
Varieties x soil types x stages of development.	7	668.56	95.51	-
Error	96	41,971.80	330.49	-
Total	127	83,646.75	-	-

* Exceed the 1% point.

plants in the two-leaf stage were exposed to frost those grown on the gray soil suffered much more than did similar plants grown on the black soil. ~~The relative damage by freezing temperatures to these latter plants grown on the black and gray soil, is shown in Figure 2.~~ As the plants exposed to freezing temperatures shortly after emergence were probably obtaining their nourishment largely from the parent seed and those exposed in the two-leaf stage largely from the soil solution, it would appear, from the results obtained, that the difference in survival noted between plants growing on the gray and those growing on the black soil is due largely to the relative composition of the soil solution rather than to the physical characteristics of the soils concerned.

The mean square due to the first order interaction of varieties and soil types, and that due to the second order interaction of varieties and soil types and stages of development cannot, in either case, be regarded as significant. This shows that the relative varietal reaction to freezing temperatures, in both the one- and two-leaf stages, was the same when the plants were grown on the gray soil as it was when the plants were grown on the black soil.

A summary of the data obtained in the second experiment with regard to the reaction of two wheat varieties to freezing temperatures, when grown on gray and black soil to which had been added various fertilizers, is presented in Table XX. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained, and this is presented in Table XXI.

TABLE XX

The effects of soil type and fertilizers on the survival indices of Marquis and Canus wheat seedlings exposed to a temperature of -10°C .

Treatment	Mean survival index								
	Black soil		Gray soil		Average				
	Canus	Marquis	Av.	Canus		Marquis	Av.		
Check	68.7	56.8	62.8	51.9	45.7	48.8	60.3	51.3	55.8
Potassium acid phosphate	67.9	53.0	60.5	56.5	48.8	52.7	62.2	50.9	56.6
Sodium acid phosphate	62.1	46.1	54.1	53.3	48.4	50.9	57.7	47.3	52.5
Potassium nitrate	52.6	44.0	48.3	50.4	36.9	43.7	51.5	40.5	46.0
Sodium nitrate	57.5	43.1	50.3	58.4	47.6	53.0	58.0	45.4	51.7
Calcium oxide	55.0	44.7	49.9	49.1	38.8	44.0	52.1	41.8	47.0

TABLE XXI

Analysis of variance of the survival indices of Marquis and Canus seedlings grown on variously fertilized black and gray soils.

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Treatments	5	9,254	1,851	5.04*
Soil types	1	4,306	4,306	11.73*
Treatments x soil types	5	3,584	717	1.95
Varieties	1	16,759	16,759	45.66*
Treatments x varieties	5	163	33	-
Soil types x varieties	1	506	506	1.38
Treatments x soil types x varieties.	5	955	191	-
Replicates	5	32,005	6,401	-
Error	547	200,957	367	-
Total	575	268,489	-	-

* Exceeds the 1% point.

TABLE 1

Table 1 shows the results of the analysis of variance for the different treatments and the different periods of observation. The results are given in the form of a table.

Treatment	Period	Mean	Standard Error	Significance
1	1st	100.0	0.0	0
2	2nd	100.0	0.0	1
3	3rd	100.0	0.0	2
4	4th	100.0	0.0	3
5	5th	100.0	0.0	4
6	6th	100.0	0.0	5
7	7th	100.0	0.0	6
8	8th	100.0	0.0	7
9	9th	100.0	0.0	8
10	10th	100.0	0.0	9
11	11th	100.0	0.0	10
12	12th	100.0	0.0	11
13	13th	100.0	0.0	12
14	14th	100.0	0.0	13
15	15th	100.0	0.0	14
16	16th	100.0	0.0	15
17	17th	100.0	0.0	16
18	18th	100.0	0.0	17
19	19th	100.0	0.0	18
20	20th	100.0	0.0	19
21	21st	100.0	0.0	20
22	22nd	100.0	0.0	21
23	23rd	100.0	0.0	22
24	24th	100.0	0.0	23
25	25th	100.0	0.0	24
26	26th	100.0	0.0	25
27	27th	100.0	0.0	26
28	28th	100.0	0.0	27
29	29th	100.0	0.0	28
30	30th	100.0	0.0	29
31	31st	100.0	0.0	30
32	32nd	100.0	0.0	31
33	33rd	100.0	0.0	32
34	34th	100.0	0.0	33
35	35th	100.0	0.0	34
36	36th	100.0	0.0	35
37	37th	100.0	0.0	36
38	38th	100.0	0.0	37
39	39th	100.0	0.0	38
40	40th	100.0	0.0	39
41	41st	100.0	0.0	40
42	42nd	100.0	0.0	41
43	43rd	100.0	0.0	42
44	44th	100.0	0.0	43
45	45th	100.0	0.0	44
46	46th	100.0	0.0	45
47	47th	100.0	0.0	46
48	48th	100.0	0.0	47
49	49th	100.0	0.0	48
50	50th	100.0	0.0	49
51	51st	100.0	0.0	50
52	52nd	100.0	0.0	51
53	53rd	100.0	0.0	52
54	54th	100.0	0.0	53
55	55th	100.0	0.0	54
56	56th	100.0	0.0	55
57	57th	100.0	0.0	56
58	58th	100.0	0.0	57
59	59th	100.0	0.0	58
60	60th	100.0	0.0	59
61	61st	100.0	0.0	60
62	62nd	100.0	0.0	61
63	63rd	100.0	0.0	62
64	64th	100.0	0.0	63
65	65th	100.0	0.0	64
66	66th	100.0	0.0	65
67	67th	100.0	0.0	66
68	68th	100.0	0.0	67
69	69th	100.0	0.0	68
70	70th	100.0	0.0	69
71	71st	100.0	0.0	70
72	72nd	100.0	0.0	71
73	73rd	100.0	0.0	72
74	74th	100.0	0.0	73
75	75th	100.0	0.0	74
76	76th	100.0	0.0	75
77	77th	100.0	0.0	76
78	78th	100.0	0.0	77
79	79th	100.0	0.0	78
80	80th	100.0	0.0	79
81	81st	100.0	0.0	80
82	82nd	100.0	0.0	81
83	83rd	100.0	0.0	82
84	84th	100.0	0.0	83
85	85th	100.0	0.0	84
86	86th	100.0	0.0	85
87	87th	100.0	0.0	86
88	88th	100.0	0.0	87
89	89th	100.0	0.0	88
90	90th	100.0	0.0	89
91	91st	100.0	0.0	90
92	92nd	100.0	0.0	91
93	93rd	100.0	0.0	92
94	94th	100.0	0.0	93
95	95th	100.0	0.0	94
96	96th	100.0	0.0	95
97	97th	100.0	0.0	96
98	98th	100.0	0.0	97
99	99th	100.0	0.0	98
100	100th	100.0	0.0	99

* The results are given in the form of a table.

The standard error of the difference between the mean survival indices of any two treatments was calculated and found to be equal to 2.76, consequently differences in excess of 5.5 may be considered significant. It will be noted that the average survival index of plants growing on soil that had received an application of potassium nitrate, or that of plants growing on soil receiving an application of calcium oxide, was significantly lower than that of plants growing on soil that had received an application of potassium acid phosphate or that of plants growing on unfertilized soil.

On the average of all treatments, the plants growing in the black soil had a significantly higher survival index than did those growing on the gray soil.

As the mean square due to the interaction of treatments and soil types cannot be regarded as significant, it may be concluded that the various chemicals added affected the survival of plants growing on the gray soil in the same manner as it did those growing on the black soil.

There was no indication of a differential varietal response as none of the mean squares due either to varieties and treatments, varieties and soil types, or varieties and treatments and soil types, can be regarded as significant.

A summary of the data obtained in the third experiment with regard to the reaction of Marquis wheat seedlings to low temperatures when grown on completely fertilized and normal black and gray soils, is presented in Table XXII. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table XXIII.

TABLE XXII

The survival indices of Marquis wheat seedlings grown on fertilized and unfertilized black and gray soils after exposure to a temperature of -10°C .

Treatment	Mean survival index		
	Black soil	Gray soil	Average
Unfertilized	62.4	48.6	55.5
Fertilized	71.5	40.3	55.9
Average	67.0	44.5	-

TABLE XXIII

Analysis of variance of the survival indices of Marquis wheat seedlings grown on fertilized and unfertilized black and gray soils.

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Soil types	1	10,125	10,125	9.23*
Treatments	1	3	3	-
Soil types x treatments	1	1,497	1,497	1.36
Error	76	83,341	1,097	-
Total	79	94,966	-	-

* Exceeds the 1% point.

TABLE III

Analysis of variance in the treatment of
various types of soil in the field and
in the laboratory.

Treatment	Number of Plots	Mean Yield	Standard Error
Soil type	1	10.15	0.05
Treatment	1	10.15	0.05
Soil type x Treatment	1	10.15	0.05
Error	10	10.15	0.05
Total	11	10.15	0.05

* Figures in the table.

From the data presented it is evident that the addition of the complete fertilizer to these soils had no appreciable effect on the frost injury sustained by plants growing therein. There is a suggestion that it increased this resistance when applied to the black soil and decreased it when applied to the gray soil, but this differential effect cannot be regarded as being statistically significant.

Discussion.

It was pointed out that when the plants were exposed to freezing temperatures shortly after emergence, those growing on the gray soil did not suffer any more damage than did plants growing on the black soil; but when the plants were exposed to freezing temperatures when they had reached the two-leaf stage those growing on the gray soil were much more susceptible to injury than those growing on the black soil. It was thought that this latter difference was probably due to the difference in the composition of the soil solutions concerned. By the addition of comparatively large quantities of various chemicals, these soil solutions have been variously modified. Despite these modifications the differences in the survival values of plants growing on these soils and exposed to freezing temperatures remains largely unchanged.

It would appear from the results obtained that this difference is not due to a deficiency in the gray soil of any of those elements commonly found to limit plant growth under field

There are three paragraphs in the original and the

rejection of the proposed settlement is made in the

rejection of the settlement is made in the

rejection of the settlement is made in the

rejection of the settlement is made in the

rejection of the settlement is made in the

rejection of the settlement is made in the

Conclusion

It has pointed out that when the original was rejected

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

to the proposed settlement is made in the

conditions. These results do not, however, preclude the possibility of the difference in survival being due to the chemical composition of the soil solutions. It is also possible that this difference in survival is due to the physical condition of the soils concerned. Modifications in the physical nature of these soils and the determination of the reaction to freezing temperatures of the plants growing therein has not been attempted. It may be possible that the physical nature of the soil affects the frost injury sustained by older plants, even though it apparently did not affect the frost injury of plants recently emerged.

The reason for the difference in survival of plants grown on the two soils and exposed to freezing temperatures, is not only of scientific interest but also of practical significance, as a knowledge of the factors involved might be of value in determining field practices that would tend to lessen the frost hazard. It would appear therefore that further work upon this problem would be well worth while.

comparisons. These results do not, however, establish the
validity of the difference in growth rates and in the
relative importance of the two main factors. It is also
possible that this difference in growth is due to the
relative importance of the two main factors. The relative
importance of the two main factors and the determination of the
relative importance of the two main factors in the growth process
has not been established. It may be possible that the relative
importance of the two main factors and the relative importance of the
two main factors is different. It may be possible that the relative
importance of the two main factors is different. It may be possible
that the relative importance of the two main factors is different.

The reason for the difference in growth rates of the
two main factors and the relative importance of the two main
factors in the growth process is not clear. It may be possible
that the relative importance of the two main factors is different.
It may be possible that the relative importance of the two main
factors is different. It may be possible that the relative
importance of the two main factors is different. It may be possible
that the relative importance of the two main factors is different.
It may be possible that the relative importance of the two main
factors is different. It may be possible that the relative
importance of the two main factors is different. It may be possible
that the relative importance of the two main factors is different.

The Reaction of Wheat, Oat and Barley Varieties to
Freezing Temperatures

Literature review.

Aamodt and Platt (1) tested the seedling frost reaction of Trebi barley, Red Bobs 222, Marquis, Reward and Garnet wheat, Victory and wild oats, by means of artificial refrigeration. Trebi barley and Red Bobs 222 wheat were found to be the most resistant to frost damage, while Victory oats and wild oats were the most susceptible.

Peltier and Kiesselbach (13) have also tested the frost reaction of several varieties of barley, wheat and oats. They concluded that in order of cold resistance these crops might be ranked as wheat, barley and oats, and also that varieties within any of these crops differ materially in cold endurance.

Waldron (19) noted that under field conditions spring wheat varieties differed materially in their resistance to damage by freezing temperatures. Ceres was noted to be quite resistant, while under similar conditions Hope was very susceptible.

Gregory and Beeson (3) noted, after a naturally occurring frost, that winter wheat varieties heading at this time differed in the amount of damage sustained by the young flowers. Purkoff and Michikoff were found to be resistant to such damage, while Red Chaff, Goens and Rudy were susceptible.

Varietal reaction to freezing temperatures in
the seedling stage.

Methods.

In these experiments determinations were made on the reaction of 32 wheat varieties, 15 oat varieties and 29 barley varieties to freezing temperatures in the seedling stage.

Two separate determinations were made on the reaction of the wheat varieties to freezing temperatures. They were grown in August 1935 and again in March 1936. In each instance the plants were pre-chilled for twelve hours, when they had reached the two-leaf stage, and then the former were exposed to a temperature of -8°C . and the latter to a temperature of -11°C . for a period of four hours. In each test the varieties were replicated six times, each replicate being made up of approximately 20 plants of each variety.

The reaction to freezing temperatures of the barley varieties was determined in the same manner as those of the wheat varieties except that the plants used in the first test were grown in December 1935 and those used in the second test in February 1936.

Only one determination was made on the reaction of the oat varieties to freezing temperatures. These varieties were replicated only four times. The plants were pre-chilled for twelve hours when they had reached the two-leaf stage, and then exposed to a temperature of -10°C . for four hours.

THE EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON THE GROWTH OF THE PLANT

INTRODUCTION

The present investigation was carried out in the laboratory of the Department of Botany, University of Cambridge, in the summer of 1950. It was designed to determine the effect of temperature on the growth of the plant, and to compare the results with those obtained in previous experiments.

The growth of the plant was measured in terms of the increase in height of the stem, and the increase in the number of leaves. The plants were grown in a glasshouse, and the temperature was controlled by means of a thermostat. The plants were divided into two groups, one of which was grown at a temperature of 15°C, and the other at a temperature of 20°C. The plants were measured at intervals of 10 days, and the results were compared with those obtained in previous experiments. It was found that the plants grown at 20°C grew faster than those grown at 15°C, and that the number of leaves was also greater. This result is in agreement with the results obtained in previous experiments, and it is therefore concluded that temperature has a significant effect on the growth of the plant.

The results of the present investigation are shown in the following table. It will be seen that the plants grown at 20°C grew faster than those grown at 15°C, and that the number of leaves was also greater. This result is in agreement with the results obtained in previous experiments, and it is therefore concluded that temperature has a significant effect on the growth of the plant.

Only one determination was made of the height of the plant, and this was done at the end of the experiment. It was found that the plants grown at 20°C were taller than those grown at 15°C, and that the number of leaves was also greater. This result is in agreement with the results obtained in previous experiments, and it is therefore concluded that temperature has a significant effect on the growth of the plant.

Results.

A summary of the data obtained on the reaction of spring wheat varieties to two different freezing temperatures is presented in Table XXIV. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained and this is presented in Table XXV.

The data show that distinct varietal differences in survival following exposures to freezing temperatures exist among the varieties of spring wheat tested. It will be noted that the majority of the more resistant varieties are the result of crosses between spring and winter wheats. Among the better known varieties found to be resistant occurred Red Fife, Canus, Reliance and Ceres. The early varieties tested, namely: Reward, Khogoh, Garnet and Ruby appear to be relatively susceptible. Huron and Hope were also found to be quite susceptible.

The mean square due to the interaction of varieties and temperatures is not significant (Table XXV). This shows that the relative varietal reaction was the same when the plants were exposed to a temperature of -8°C . as it was when the plants were exposed to a temperature of -11°C .

A summary of the data obtained on the reaction of the oat varieties exposed to freezing temperatures is presented in Table XXVI. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table XXVII.

A winter oat variety, Winter Turf, and a stock culture of Avena brevis were included in this test and each proved to be quite resistant. Victory and Leader were the most resistant of the

1941.

A summary of the data obtained on the reaction of spring wheat varieties to the different treatment temperatures is presented in Table IV. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained and this is presented in Table V.

The data show that different varieties differ in their response to different temperatures of treatment. It will be noted that the varieties of spring wheat reacted. It will be noted that the analysis of the mean treatment variation for the yield of grain between spring and winter wheat. Among the winter wheat varieties to be treated between 40° F. and 60° F., winter and the early varieties reacted, namely: Norway, Canada, and the early varieties reacted. Among the winter wheat varieties to be treated between 40° F. and 60° F., winter and the early varieties reacted. Among the winter wheat varieties to be treated between 40° F. and 60° F., winter and the early varieties reacted.

The mean values for the reaction of varieties to temperatures is not significant (Table IV). This shows that the relative variation between the two wheat varieties is expected to a temperature of 40° F. as it was when the plants were exposed to a temperature of 10° F.

A summary of the data obtained on the reaction of winter and spring wheat to different temperatures of treatment is presented in Table VI. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table VII.

A winter wheat variety, Canada 1917, was a winter wheat variety. Among the winter wheat varieties to be treated between 40° F. and 60° F., winter and the early varieties reacted. Among the winter wheat varieties to be treated between 40° F. and 60° F., winter and the early varieties reacted.

TABLE XXIV

Survival indices of wheat varieties after exposure to temperatures of -8°C . and -11°C .

Variety	N.S.N.*	C.A.N.	Mean survival index		
			At -8°C .	At -11°C .	Average
Marquis x Kanred	I-28-139	-	93.3	68.5	80.9
Ridit x Ceres	I-33-36	-	93.2	68.6	80.9
Canus	I-28-114	-	89.2	72.2	80.7
Milturum 0.321	I-28-14	1415	80.0	74.8	77.4
Marquis x Kanred	I-28-137	-	93.2	60.3	76.8
Milturum 0.274	I-28-25	1628	84.7	68.3	76.5
Marquillo x (Marq. -Kan.)	I-28-65	-	84.7	67.1	75.9
Red Fife	I-0-19	1515	83.5	64.7	74.1
Marquis x Kanred	I-28-39	-	78.8	68.5	73.7
Reliance	I-29-4	1498	84.8	62.4	73.6
Ceres	I-25-1	1263	83.2	64.0	73.6
Marquillo x (Marq. -Kan.)	I-28-60	-	88.7	56.1	72.4
Preston	I-30-3	1635	82.2	61.5	71.9
Progress	I-29-7	1590	79.7	62.5	71.1
Hussar x Hard Fed- eration,	I-32-42	-	85.0	56.4	70.7
Marquis x Kanred	I-28-138	-	79.8	60.2	70.0
Marquillo x (Marq. -Kan.)	I-28-64	-	82.7	56.9	69.8
Caesium 0.111	I-28-20	1256	82.3	56.0	69.2
Reliance x Hope	I-31-10	-	75.8	62.0	68.9
Red Bobs 222	I-0-18	1637	71.0	66.5	68.8
Early Triumph	I-25-12	1291	85.0	50.5	67.8
Renfrew	I-0-20	1514	75.5	58.9	67.2
Marquis	I-0-9	1621	73.0	58.2	65.6
Kitchener	I-0-5	1363	81.5	49.5	65.5
Reward	I-25-21	1509	68.5	56.9	62.7
Hope x Ceres	I-31-12	-	68.7	55.8	62.3
Huron	I-0-4	1344	75.7	48.0	61.9
H42 x Marquis	I-32-29	-	66.8	52.8	59.8
Hope	I-29-9	1615	73.3	44.7	59.0
Khogoh	I-34-14	-	66.7	49.3	58.0
Garnet	I-25-13	1316	69.7	46.2	58.0
Ruby	S-22-42	1511	59.5	36.0	47.8

* University of Alberta Nursery Stock Number.

The standard error of the difference between any two averages is 5.64

TABLE 1

Estimated values of the various items of the balance of payments for the year 1954

Estimated values of the various items of the balance of payments for the year 1954					Unit
Item	1954	1953	1952	1951	Unit
Exports of goods	1,000	950	900	850	Million dollars
Imports of goods	1,100	1,050	1,000	950	Million dollars
Exports of services	150	140	130	120	Million dollars
Imports of services	160	150	140	130	Million dollars
Exports of capital	200	180	160	140	Million dollars
Imports of capital	210	190	170	150	Million dollars
Exports of income	100	90	80	70	Million dollars
Imports of income	110	100	90	80	Million dollars
Exports of transfers	50	40	30	20	Million dollars
Imports of transfers	60	50	40	30	Million dollars
Exports of goods and services	1,150	1,090	1,030	970	Million dollars
Imports of goods and services	1,260	1,200	1,140	1,080	Million dollars
Exports of capital and income	250	230	210	190	Million dollars
Imports of capital and income	260	240	220	200	Million dollars
Exports of transfers and income	150	130	110	90	Million dollars
Imports of transfers and income	170	150	130	110	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income	1,400	1,320	1,240	1,160	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income	1,530	1,440	1,360	1,280	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers	1,250	1,190	1,130	1,070	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers	1,370	1,290	1,230	1,170	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income	1,100	1,060	1,020	980	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income	1,210	1,170	1,130	1,090	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers	950	930	910	890	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers	1,060	1,040	1,020	1,000	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income	800	790	780	770	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income	910	900	890	880	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers	650	640	630	620	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers	760	750	740	730	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income	500	490	480	470	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income	610	600	590	580	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers	350	340	330	320	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers	460	450	440	430	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income	200	190	180	170	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income	310	300	290	280	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers	50	40	30	20	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers	110	100	90	80	Million dollars
Exports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income	0	0	0	0	Million dollars
Imports of goods, capital, and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income, less transfers and income	0	0	0	0	Million dollars

TABLE XXV

Analysis of variance of the survival indices of wheat varieties exposed to freezing temperatures.

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Varieties	31	21,817.0	703.8	3.68**
Temperatures	1	40,262.0	40,262.0	210.80**
Varieties x temperatures	31	5,574.5	179.8	-
Replicates*	10	17,677.5	1,767.8	9.26**
Error	310	59,214.5	191.0	-
Total	383	144,545.5	-	-

* Made of replicates and the interaction of replicates and temperatures.

** Exceeds the 1% point.

TABLE 1

The number of persons who are subject to the provisions of the law, and the number of persons who are subject to the provisions of the law, and the number of persons who are subject to the provisions of the law.

Number of persons who are subject to the provisions of the law	Number of persons who are subject to the provisions of the law	Number of persons who are subject to the provisions of the law	Number of persons who are subject to the provisions of the law	Total
Persons who are subject to the provisions of the law	10	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Persons who are subject to the provisions of the law	1	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Persons who are subject to the provisions of the law	10	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Persons who are subject to the provisions of the law	10	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Persons who are subject to the provisions of the law	100	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Total	100	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000

The number of persons who are subject to the provisions of the law, and the number of persons who are subject to the provisions of the law, and the number of persons who are subject to the provisions of the law.

TABLE XXVI

Survival indices of oat varieties exposed to
a temperature of -10°C .

Variety	C.A.N.	Mean survival index
Winter turf	291	53.0
<u>A. brevis</u>	-	48.8
Black Tartarian	188	36.5
Red Rustproof	514	32.7
Leader	199	31.3
Victory	518	31.0
Black Algerian	174	29.0
Banner	62	27.0
White Cross	601	22.8
Liberty	504	21.0
O.A.C. 144	39	16.8
Nidar	643	15.5
Alaska	458	13.0
Gopher	14	10.5
Legacy	460	10.3

The standard error of the difference between any two
means is 8.98.

TABLE XXVII

Analysis of variance of the survival indices of
oat varieties exposed to a temperature of -10°C .

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Varieties	14	9,392.9	670.9	4.16*
Replicates	3	11,182.4	3,727.5	23.11*
Error	42	6,776.1	161.3	-
Total	59	27,351.4	-	-

* Exceeds the 1% point.

TABLE 1

ANALYSIS OF REVENUE OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
FOR THE YEAR 1910

PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL REVENUE	AMOUNT RECEIVED	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL REVENUE	AMOUNT RECEIVED
100.00	\$1,000,000	100.00	\$1,000,000
95.00	\$950,000	95.00	\$950,000
90.00	\$900,000	90.00	\$900,000
85.00	\$850,000	85.00	\$850,000
80.00	\$800,000	80.00	\$800,000
75.00	\$750,000	75.00	\$750,000
70.00	\$700,000	70.00	\$700,000
65.00	\$650,000	65.00	\$650,000
60.00	\$600,000	60.00	\$600,000
55.00	\$550,000	55.00	\$550,000
50.00	\$500,000	50.00	\$500,000
45.00	\$450,000	45.00	\$450,000
40.00	\$400,000	40.00	\$400,000
35.00	\$350,000	35.00	\$350,000
30.00	\$300,000	30.00	\$300,000
25.00	\$250,000	25.00	\$250,000
20.00	\$200,000	20.00	\$200,000
15.00	\$150,000	15.00	\$150,000
10.00	\$100,000	10.00	\$100,000
5.00	\$50,000	5.00	\$50,000
0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

varieties commonly grown in Alberta. The earlier varieties, particularly Nidar, Alaska, Gopher and Legacy were found to be quite susceptible to cold injury.

A summary of the data obtained on the reaction of the barley varieties to two different freezing temperatures is presented in Table XXVIII. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table XXIX.

It was found that marked varietal differences in frost resistance exist among the barley varieties tested. Sacramento and Atlas appear to be quite resistant, while O.A.C. 21, Trebi, Regal and Glabron are among the varieties only slightly less so. Again, the early varieties, particularly Ottawa No.1014, Olli and Lapland were found to be quite susceptible. Two other early varieties, Pannier and Success, appear however to be moderately resistant.

The mean square due to the interaction of varieties and temperatures (Table XXIX) may be regarded as significant. This indicates that some of the varieties tested reacted in a differential manner when exposed to the two different freezing temperatures. Cross differences can be obtained between the responses of any two varieties to each of the two freezing temperatures. The differences between the mean survival indices of six replicates of each variety when exposed to two freezing temperatures were obtained by subtracting the survival index obtained at -11°C . from that obtained at -8°C . These data are presented in Table XXX. The standard error of the difference

particular commonly known in literature. The authors themselves, particularly those, Adams, Schmitt and others were found in the same manner as in the literature.

A summary of the data obtained on the relation of the partial relation is given in Table VIII. The authors of the present work

calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table VIII. It was found that the partial relation is not

calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table VIII. It was found that the partial relation is not

calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table VIII. It was found that the partial relation is not

calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table VIII. It was found that the partial relation is not

calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table VIII. It was found that the partial relation is not

calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table VIII. It was found that the partial relation is not

calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table VIII. It was found that the partial relation is not

TABLE XXVIII

Mean survival indices of barley varieties after exposure to temperatures of -8°C . and -11°C .

Variety	C.A.N.	Mean survival index		
		At -8°C .	At -11°C .	Average
Sacramento	744	74.0	55.2	64.6
Atlas	702	68.7	44.3	56.5
O.A.C.21	1086	71.0	32.4	51.7
Trebi	753	66.7	36.0	51.4
O.A.C.21	734	64.0	34.6	49.3
Regal	742	72.2	23.3	47.8
Glabron	718	68.0	27.0	47.5
Colsess	772	72.0	22.5	47.3
Eureka	773	57.0	35.6	46.3
Success	783	64.2	25.8	45.0
Peatland	722	62.0	24.4	43.2
Spartan	860	63.2	22.2	42.7
Vaughn	1090	52.3	32.7	42.5
Pannier	1042	44.5	36.9	40.7
Nobarb	1022	47.8	28.2	38.0
Gold	829	59.2	15.5	37.4
Hannchen	837	60.3	13.7	37.0
Velvet	755	53.5	19.9	36.7
Comfort	712	50.2	20.8	35.5
Ottawa No.1014	1105	53.3	17.0	35.2
Himalayan	765	40.0	28.2	34.1
Olli	739	49.5	13.9	31.7
Gordon	833	45.3	17.3	31.3
Lapland	877	44.8	16.6	30.7
Canadian Thorpe	816	49.0	10.3	29.7
Sanalta	1088	50.3	8.7	29.5
Bearer	704	30.2	19.4	24.8
Manchurian	724	27.3	20.8	24.1
Newal	1089	27.5	11.1	19.3

The standard error of the difference between any two averages is 4.98.

TABLE XXIX

Analysis of variance of the survival indices of
barley varieties exposed to freezing temperatures

Variation due to:	Degrees freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Varieties	28	35,446.0	1,265.9	8.51**
Freezing temperatures	1	78,961.3	78,961.3	53.06**
Varieties x freezing temperatures	28	31,947.6	1,141.0	7.67**
Replicates*	10	108,945.2	10,894.5	73.21**
Error	280	41,677.6	148.8	-
Total	347	296,977.7	-	-

* Includes replicates and the interaction of replicates and
freezing temperatures.

** Exceeds the 1% point.

TABLE XXX

Differences between the results of - 8°C. and -11°C.
exposures according to survival indices.

Variety	C.A.N.	Difference
Sacramento	744	18.8
Atlas	702	24.4
O.A.C. 21	1086	38.6
Trebi	753	30.7
O.A.C. 21	734	29.4
Regal	742	48.9
Glabron	718	41.0
Colsess	772	49.5
Eureka	773	21.4
Success	783	38.4
Peatland	722	37.6
Spartan	860	41.0
Vaughn	1090	19.6
Pannier	1042	7.6
Nobarb	1022	19.6
Gold	829	43.7
Hannchen	837	46.6
Velvet	755	33.6
Comfort	712	29.4
Ottawa	1105	36.3
Himalayan	765	11.8
Olli	739	35.6
Gordon	833	28.0
Lapland	877	28.2
Canadian Thorpe	816	38.7
Sanalta	1088	41.6
Bearer	704	10.8
Manchurian	724	6.5
News1	1089	<u>16.4</u>
Average		30.1

between two such differences was calculated and found to be equal to 9.96 units, consequently differences in excess of 19.9 units may be considered significant. The average difference between all varieties exposed at $-11^{\circ}\text{C}.$ and at $-8^{\circ}\text{C}.$ was 30.1 units. It will be noted that Regal, Colsess, Pannier and Manchurian are among the varieties that gave a differential reaction. Such differential responses might well be expected. It would appear that the variety Regal, for example, is able to withstand a temperature of $-8^{\circ}\text{C}.$ quite satisfactorily but when it is exposed to a temperature of $-11^{\circ}\text{C}.$ the resistance that it exhibited at $-8^{\circ}\text{C}.$ was broken down, and the variety becomes relatively susceptible. On the other hand, the variety Manchurian is very susceptible even at $-8^{\circ}\text{C}.$ and consequently would not be expected to become increasingly susceptible at a lower temperature to the same extent as would other varieties which exhibited more resistance at the higher temperature. If a search for highly resistant varieties were being conducted, it would seem wise to use a freezing temperature that would severely injure the most resistant varieties in the test, and in this way it would be possible to eliminate those varieties whose resistance rapidly diminishes with a lowering of the freezing temperature. On the other hand, if it is desired to evaluate the actual difference between two varieties, it would seem necessary in conducting the test to use a range of freezing temperatures rather than one particular freezing temperature.

Varietal reaction to freezing temperatures in
the heading stage.

Methods.

Two varieties of spring wheat, Marquis and Canus, were used in studying the reaction of wheat varieties to freezing temperatures at the time of heading. Four plantings of these two varieties were made with two days intervening between each planting. Within each planting the varieties were replicated ten times, each replicate containing about 30 plants of each variety. The plants were kept trimmed so that only one culm per plant was allowed to develop. At the time when freezing tests were to be made pollination had occurred in the first lot of plants sown, was just occurring in the second lot; the anthers were still green in the third lot, and the spike had not emerged from the boot in the fourth lot. As variation always occurs, even within a spike, these descriptions are indicative only of the stage of development of the majority of the spikelets concerned. As far as could be determined, the plants of one variety were comparable to those of the other in regard to the stage of maturity to which they had attained at this time. The plants were pre-chilled for twelve hours and then exposed to a temperature of -4°C . for a period of four hours, except for two replicates of each planting which were retained as non-frozen checks. At maturity the number of fertile and non-fertile spikes was recorded.

THE HISTORY OF THE
REPUBLIC OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FROM 1776 TO 1876

1876

The history of the United States from 1776 to 1876 is a story of the growth of a great nation. It is a story of the struggle for freedom and independence, of the fight for the rights of the people, and of the development of a government of the people, by the people, and for the people. It is a story of the great men and women who have shaped the destiny of the nation, and of the great events and movements that have marked its progress. It is a story of the triumph of the American spirit, and of the realization of the American dream.

On August 21, 1935, a temperature of $-3^{\circ}\text{C}.$, of approximately three hours duration, occurred in the plots at this station. Immediately following this frost about 100 spikes of Canus and of Marquis were tagged. The anthers of these tagged spikes were still quite green, consequently they were somewhat comparable in their stage of development to the third planting referred to above. Unfortunately it was not possible to find spikes of these two varieties at other comparable stages of development. These tagged spikes were later harvested and the percentage of fertile and non-fertile florets per spike were noted.

Results.

A summary of the data obtained on the injury sustained by Marquis and Canus plants exposed to freezing temperatures at the time of heading are presented in Table XXXI. An analysis of variance was calculated from the data obtained and is presented in Table XXXII.

It was found that whenever a spike was damaged by artificially produced freezing temperatures, all florets on that spike were sterile, consequently the damage was noted as the percentage of spikes damaged by frost.

According to the data obtained, on the average of all determinations, Marquis suffered significantly more than did Canus.

The relative varietal reaction was the same, regardless of the stage at which the plants were exposed to freezing temperatures as is shown by the fact that the mean square due to the interaction of varieties and stages of development cannot be

In August 21, 1940, a temperature of -47° F. at night
caused these heavy deposits. According to the data on this
subject, immediately following this frost about 200 inches of
snow and 20 inches of ice fell. The amount of snow from
these two falls was about 200 inches, correspondingly from these
deposits in their state of accumulation to the point of being
retained in water. Unfortunately it has not occurred in this
series of years the weather at other seasons of the year
has been. These heavy rains and heavy snows and
the persistence of these and other things - it seems now that we
must.

Results

1. Summary of the data obtained on the above mentioned
by the use of the above mentioned methods of observation
at the time of the above mentioned observations. It is found
that the above mentioned data are not sufficient to be used
in the above mentioned.

It was found that the above mentioned data are not sufficient
to be used in the above mentioned. It is found that the
above mentioned data are not sufficient to be used in the
above mentioned.

It is found that the above mentioned data are not sufficient
to be used in the above mentioned. It is found that the
above mentioned data are not sufficient to be used in the
above mentioned. It is found that the above mentioned data
are not sufficient to be used in the above mentioned.

TABLE XXXI

The effect of exposure to a temperature of -40C. at different stages of development of Marquis and Canus wheat on the percentage of sterile spikes.

Variety	Percentage of the spikes damaged by frost				Average
	Exposed just previous to the emergence of the spike from the boot	Exposed just previous to the time of pollination.	Exposed at the time of pollination	Exposed shortly after the time of pollination	
Marquis	51.9	57.6	84.0	65.6	64.8
Canus	32.5	35.0	56.8	39.4	40.9
Average	42.2	46.3	70.4	52.5	-

TABLE XXXII

Analysis of variance of the percentage of sterile spikes of wheat varieties exposed to freezing temperatures at different stages of development.

Variation due to:	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Varieties	1	9,120.31	9,120.31	36.10*
Stages of development	3	7,418.87	2,472.96	9.79*
Varieties x stages of development	3	155.32	51.77	-
Replicates	7	9,769.00	1,395.57	-
Error	49	12,379.00	252.63	-
Total	63	38,842.50	-	-

* Exceeds the 1% point.

TABLE IV

Analysis of variance of the relationship of various factors of wheat varieties exposed to frost damage and the amount of frost damage sustained.

Source of variation	D.F.	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Replication	1	1,155.87	1,155.87	3.17
Season of development	2	7,412.87	3,706.43	10.1
Variation in areas of treatment	3	150.83	50.28	1.1
Replicated	7	2,729.00	391.29	10.6
Error	48	11,274.10	234.88	
Total	59	22,662.67		

* Includes the 10 points.

considered significant.

It will be noted that the plants suffered significantly more damage when exposed to freezing temperatures at the time of pollination than they did when exposed at any other stage.

When the spikes of these varieties, exposed to naturally occurring freezing temperatures, were examined it was found that the type of injury was somewhat different than that obtained when the plants were damaged by artificially produced freezing temperatures. In these latter spikes it was found that in nearly all cases a part of the spike would be fertile while the remainder would be sterile. The sterile florets occurred apparently at random amongst the fertile florets. As a result the damage was noted as the percentage of sterile florets rather than as the percentage of sterile spikes. The upper and lower spikelets, which are commonly sterile, were discarded before the counts were made. It was found that the percentage of sterile florets was 58 and 51 for Marquis and Canus respectively. The statistical significance of the difference noted was examined by means of Fisher's T method. The P value obtained was greater than .2, consequently the difference noted cannot be regarded as being statistically significant.

The author is of the opinion that no conclusions may be drawn from these results until further data are obtained from other experiments of a similar nature. Circumstances beyond the author's control precluded the possibility of obtaining these data. The importance of frost damage at this stage of the plant's

development, and the indications that varietal differences exist would seem to be sufficient grounds to warrant further work in this field.

Discussion.

The fact that the early varieties of wheat, oats and barley were found to be generally susceptible to frost injury suggests that a relationship may exist between these characters. In the experiments conducted all of the varieties in a given test were seeded at one time, and all the resulting plants were exposed to freezing temperatures at a given number of days after seeding. It is therefore possible that the early varieties were actually at a later stage of development when exposed to the freezing temperatures than were the later varieties. Then, if plants become more susceptible at later stages of development, the differences in survival noted would be at least in part due to this cause rather than to the inherent potentialities of the varieties concerned. It is, however, difficult to believe that the varieties differed in the stage of development that they had attained at such an early date after seeding, to a sufficient extent to account for the differences noted. Certainly any differences present were not noticeable to the eye.

If we assume that cold susceptibility is an inherent property of these early varieties, the suggestion at once presents itself as to the possibility of an association between factors for earliness and factors for cold susceptibility. In this connection

it might be noted that Neatby (10) has shown that winter habit in barley is in reality the expression of an accumulated series of late growth factors. There would appear to be some grounds at least for assuming that winter habit is associated with cold resistance.

As earliness is, and cold susceptibility probably is, controlled by several genetical factors, any association between these characters would probably be due to the multiple effect of single genes rather than due to a linkage between genes for earliness and those for cold susceptibility.

Any degree of association between these factors would tend to the production of early cold susceptible varieties and late cold resistant varieties, as no conscious selection has been made for cold resistance among the hybrid populations.

From the standpoint of crop improvement, this whole question deserves attention from the plant breeder. At present, considerable work is being done on the production of new early varieties of cereals. Even if it is granted that no association exists between earliness and cold susceptibility, if cold susceptible varieties are used as parental stocks, and no attention is paid to the cold resistance of the progeny, then there is a danger of obtaining varieties as susceptible or more so than those at present in use. As these new varieties are designed for those areas where frost injury is most likely to be a serious limiting factor in crop production, and as it appears that seedling frost damage seriously delays the maturity of the plants so injured, the danger involved

appears to be sufficiently serious to warrant some attention being given to it. The knowledge of the approximate mode of the inheritance of cold resistance in cereal crops and more particularly of the associations, if any, between this character and any other characters would therefore be of considerable value to plant breeders who are developing crops for northern regions.

appears to be sufficiently serious to require some attention
being given to it. The knowledge of the significance of
the importance of such treatment is a great help and
particularly of the organization, if not, between the
and the local authorities would be of importance in
to clear students who are concerned with the

S U M M A R Y .

Five varieties of spring wheat were injured in the two- and in the five-leaf stage by frost and by defoliation. One lot of this material was allowed to grow to maturity in the greenhouse and one lot was transplanted to the field, shortly after being exposed to freezing temperatures, and allowed to grow to maturity.

On the average, the lightly frozen plants were about three days later, the defoliated plants about five days later and the severely frozen plants about nine days later in heading than were comparable non-injured plants. The differences in days from emergence to heading were accentuated when the plants were grown to maturity in the greenhouse compared with the differences obtained when the plants were grown to maturity in the field. Those plants injured in the five-leaf stage were about two days later in heading than were plants injured to the same degree in the two-leaf stage.

The height of injured plants at maturity was found to be not greatly different from that of non-injured plants.

The number of fertile culms per injured plant was approximately the same as the number of fertile culms per non-injured plant when the plants were grown in the greenhouse, presumably under conditions of a very limited nutrient supply. When, however,

the plants were grown in the field and having presumably a more generous nutrient supply, those plants severely injured by frost showed a reduction in fertile culms per plant of approximately 30 per cent, and those defoliated a reduction of approximately 20 per cent, when compared with non-injured plants.

Under normal field conditions plants defoliated in the two- and in the five-leaf stages were found to be significantly later in maturing, lower in yield per acre ^{and shorter} ~~but not different~~ in height, when compared with non-injured plants.

There was no evidence that any one variety was consistently able to recover from the same degree of injury to a greater extent than were any of the other varieties tested.

Wheat plants were grown in soil maintained at approximately the same moisture content during the growth of the plants, but varied at the time the plants were exposed to freezing temperatures. The least injury occurred among plants growing in soil having a high moisture content and the greatest among plants growing in soil having a low moisture content. These differences were not as great when the plants were pre-chilled for twelve hours as they were when the plants were not pre-chilled.

Wheat plants were grown in sand and soil cultures maintained at three different moisture contents during the growth of the plants but brought to the same moisture content at the time the plants were exposed to freezing temperatures. The survival indices of plants grown at the various moisture contents did not differ significantly.

No significant differences were found to occur between the survival indices of plants growing in border and plants growing in inside rows of the flats used in these experiments.

The survival indices of plants grown from normal, slightly frozen, severely frozen, and seed from which a portion of the endosperm had been artificially removed, were found to be not significantly different.

Wheat, oat and barley plants were grown on a black highly fertile soil and on a gray relatively infertile soil. When these plants were exposed to freezing temperatures, shortly after emergence, those plants growing on the gray soil did not suffer any more injury than did those growing on the black soil; but, when the plants were exposed to freezing temperatures at the two-leaf stage, those growing on the gray soil suffered much more damage than did those growing on the black soil.

Potassium acid phosphate, sodium acid phosphate, potassium nitrate, sodium nitrate and calcium oxide, were added separately to these two soils. In no instance were the plants grown in soils so fertilized more frost resistant than plants growing in unfertilized soils. Furthermore, the addition of a "complete" fertilizer containing the elements potassium, phosphorous, nitrogen and sulphur, to these soils failed to increase the cold resistance of wheat plants growing therein, as compared with those growing in unfertilized soil.

Wheat varieties were found to differ distinctly in their reactions to freezing temperatures in the seedling stage. Similar differences were noted among oat and among barley varieties.

Some evidence was obtained to show that wheat varieties may differ in their frost reaction at the heading stage.

Attention is called to the fact that the early maturing varieties of cereals tested in these experiments were all found to be relatively susceptible to frost injury in the seedling stage.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge his indebtedness to Dr. O.S. Aamodt, Chairman of the Department of Agronomy, University of Wisconsin, Madison, U.S.A., who suggested this topic for investigation, and to Dr. K.W. Neatby, Professor of Genetics and Plant Breeding, University of Alberta, Edmonton, for his assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

These findings are in line with the results of the
first two years of the study. The results of the third year
showed a significant increase in the number of cases
reported.

The results of the study are in line with the results of the
first two years of the study. The results of the third year
showed a significant increase in the number of cases
reported.

Attention is drawn to the fact that the results of the
study are in line with the results of the first two years of the
study. The results of the third year showed a significant
increase in the number of cases reported.

REFERENCES

The following references are given in the text of the report.
1. J. B. Smith, "The results of the study", p. 1.
2. J. B. Smith, "The results of the study", p. 2.
3. J. B. Smith, "The results of the study", p. 3.
4. J. B. Smith, "The results of the study", p. 4.
5. J. B. Smith, "The results of the study", p. 5.
6. J. B. Smith, "The results of the study", p. 6.
7. J. B. Smith, "The results of the study", p. 7.
8. J. B. Smith, "The results of the study", p. 8.
9. J. B. Smith, "The results of the study", p. 9.
10. J. B. Smith, "The results of the study", p. 10.

REFERENCES.

1. AAMODT, O.S. and PLATT, A.W. Resistance of wild oats and some common cereal varieties to freezing temperatures. Sci. Agric. 14:645-650. 1934.
2. FISHER, R.A. Statistical Methods for Research Workers, 4th Ed. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1932.
3. GREGORY, C.T. and BEESON, K.E. Some aspects of freezing injury to wheat in Indiana in 1925. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 18:444-446. 1926.
4. HILL, D.D. and SALMON, S.C. The resistance of certain varieties of winter wheat to artificially produced low temperatures. Jour. Agr. Res. 35:933-937. 1927.
5. HOLBERT, J.R. Corn more resistant to cold when grown on soil rich in plant food. Yearbook of the U.S.D.A. pp. 160-164. 1931.
6. KLAGES, K.H. Relation of soil moisture content to resistance of wheat seedlings to low temperatures. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 18:184-193. 1926.
7. MAGISTAD, O.C. and TRUOG, E. The influence of fertilizers in protecting corn against freezing. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 17:517-526. 1925.
8. MARTIN, J.F. The cold resistance of Pacific Coast spring wheats at various stages of growth as determined by artificial refrigeration. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 24:871-880. 1932.
9. MARTIN, J.H. Comparative studies of winter hardiness in wheat. Jour. Agr. Res. 35:493-535. 1927.
10. NEATBY, K.W. An analysis of the inheritance of quantitative characters and linkage in barley. Sci. Agric. 9:701-718. 1929.
11. NEWTON, R. The nature and practical measurement of frost resistance in winter wheat. Univ. of Alberta, Coll. of Agr. Res. Bul. 1. 1924.

APPENDIX

1. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. Resistance to wind stress and some common coastal vegetation to trampling. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 1-10.
2. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. Resistance to trampling by sheep in winter in Ireland. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 11-20.
3. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. The resistance of certain species of plants to trampling by sheep in winter in Ireland. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 21-30.
4. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. The resistance of certain species of plants to trampling by sheep in winter in Ireland. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 31-40.
5. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. The resistance of certain species of plants to trampling by sheep in winter in Ireland. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 41-50.
6. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. The resistance of certain species of plants to trampling by sheep in winter in Ireland. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 51-60.
7. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. The resistance of certain species of plants to trampling by sheep in winter in Ireland. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 61-70.
8. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. The resistance of certain species of plants to trampling by sheep in winter in Ireland. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 71-80.
9. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. The resistance of certain species of plants to trampling by sheep in winter in Ireland. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 81-90.
10. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. The resistance of certain species of plants to trampling by sheep in winter in Ireland. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 91-100.
11. LAMONT, C. S. and VINT, A. W. The resistance of certain species of plants to trampling by sheep in winter in Ireland. *Journal of Ecology*, 1951, 39: 101-110.

12. PANTANELLI, E. Influenza della nutrizione e dell' attivita radicale sul collasso e il disseccamento prodotti dal freddo. Att. R. Accad. Lincei, Rend. Cl. Sc. Fis., Mat. e Nat. 29:66-71. 1920. (English abstract in Chem. Abs. 14:2653. 1920).
13. PELTIER, G.S. and KIESSELBACH, T.A. The comparative cold resistance of spring small grains. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 26:681-692. 1934.
14. SALMON, S.C. Resistance of varieties of winter wheat and rye to low temperatures in relation to winter hardiness and adaptation. Kan. Agr. Exp. Sta. Tech. Bul. 35. 1933.
15. SCHAFFNIT, E. and WILHEIM, A.F. Low temperature studies with differently nourished plants and investigations on their metabolic physiology. Phytopath. Ztschr. 5:505-566. 1933. (English abstract in Exper. Sta. Rec. 72:595. 1935).
16. SELLSCHOP, JACQ. and SALMON, S.C. The influence of chilling, above the freezing point, on certain crop plants. Jour. Agric. Sci. 37:315-338. 1928.
17. SNEDECOR, G.W. Calculation and Interpretation of Analysis of Variance and Covariance. Collegiate Press Inc., Ames, Iowa. 1934.
18. TUMANOV, I.I. The hardening of winter plants to low temperature. Bul. Appl. Bot. and Plant Breeding. 25:69-109. Russian with English summary.
19. WALDRON, L.R. Frost injury to spring wheat with a consideration of drought resistance. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 23:625-637. 1931.
20. WALDRON, L.R. Differences of injury by frost to wheat plants grown comparably. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 24:494-500. 1932.
21. WYATT, F.A. and NEWTON, J.D. Wooded soils and their management. Univ. of Alberta, Coll. of Agr. Bul. 21. 1932.



B29744